

Non-ultralocal classical r-matrix structures in field analogues of integrable systems

Andrei Zotov

(Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS, Moscow)

Efim Fradkin Centennial Conference

Lebedev Physics Institute RAS, Moscow, Russia

02–06 September 2024

Plan of the talk:

- Integrable systems and field generalizations
- Yang-Baxter equations
- Integrable tops and 1+1 Landau-Lifshitz equations from R-matrices
- Many-body systems and field generalizations
- IRF-Vertex correspondence: gauge equivalence

Integrable systems

Mechanics (ODE)

1-body closed Toda model:

$$q = q(t), \quad \ddot{q} = \sinh(q)$$

1-body open Toda model:

$$q = q(t), \quad \ddot{q} = -e^q$$

Euler top in 3d:

$$\vec{S} = \vec{S}(t), \quad \dot{\vec{S}} = \vec{S} \times J(\vec{S})$$

$\vec{S}(t)$ – angular momentum vector

Free (isotropic) top:

$$\vec{S} = \vec{S}(t), \quad \dot{\vec{S}} = 0$$

Field theory (PDE)

Sine-Gordon equation:

$$q = q(t, x), \quad \partial_t^2 q - \partial_x^2 q = \sinh(q)$$

Liouville field theory:

$$q = q(t, x), \quad \partial_t^2 q - \partial_x^2 q = -e^q$$

Landau-Lifshitz equation:

$$\vec{S} = \vec{S}(t, x), \quad \partial_t \vec{S} = \vec{S} \times \partial_x^2 \vec{S} + \vec{S} \times J(\vec{S})$$

$\vec{S}(t, x)$ – magnetization vector in magnet

Heisenberg magnet:

$$\vec{S} = \vec{S}(t, x), \quad \partial_t \vec{S} = \vec{S} \times \partial_x^2 \vec{S}$$

Integrable systems in classical mechanics

Hamiltonian mechanics: Hamiltonian function H and Poisson brackets $\{ , \}$ provide equations of motion $\dot{f} = \{H, f\}$.

Many-body systems: N particles with positions $q_i \in \mathbb{C}$, momenta $p_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and the Hamiltonian function of the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \sum_{i < j}^N U(q_i - q_j).$$

Canonical Poisson structure:

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = \{q_i, q_j\} = 0, \quad i, j = 1 \dots N.$$

We need: N independent integrals of motion $H_k(p, q)$ with involution property

$$\{H_i, H_j\} = 0.$$

Euler-Arnold tops

Dynamical variables:

$$S = \sum_{i,j=1}^N S_{ij} E_{ij} \in \text{Mat}(N),$$

where E_{ij} is a standard basis in $\text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$.

The Euler-Arnold equations:

$$\dot{S} = [S, J(S)],$$

where

$$J(S) = \sum J_{ij,kl} S_{kl} E_{ij}$$

is a linear functional, and $J_{ij,kl}$ – some set of constants. From the point of view of rotation in multidimensional space J – inverse inertia tensor.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(SJ(S))$$

The Poisson brackets are given by the linear Poisson-Lie structure on \mathfrak{gl}_N^* :

$$\{S_{ij}, S_{kl}\} = \delta_{il} S_{kj} - \delta_{kj} S_{il}.$$

Lax equations and classical r -matrix

Let L and M are matrices (matrix-valued functions on the phase space), and equations of motion are represented in the form of **Lax equation**:

$$\dot{L} = [L, M], \quad \dot{L} = \{H, L\} = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \{H, L_{ij}\}, \quad L, M \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

Then $H_k = \text{tr}(L^k)$ are **integrals of motion**:

$$\dot{L}^k = [L^k, M], \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr}(L^k) = \text{tr}(L^k M - M L^k) = 0.$$

Introduce notation $\{L_1, L_2\} = \sum_{ijkl} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \{L_{ij}, L_{kl}\} \in \text{Mat}_N^{\otimes 2}$. If there exists such $r_{12} \in \text{Mat}_N^{\otimes 2}$ (**classical r -matrix**) that

$$\{L_1, L_2\} = [L_1, r_{12}] - [L_2, r_{21}], \quad L_1 = L \otimes 1, \quad L_2 = 1 \otimes L$$

$$r_{12} = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}, \quad r_{21} = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl} E_{kl} \otimes E_{ij}$$

then the conservation laws are in involution $\{H_i, H_j\} = 0$.

The same with spectral parameter

Let $L(z)$ and $M(z)$ are matrices (matrix-valued functions on the phase space), z – auxiliary parameter and equations of motion are represented in the form

$$\dot{L}(z) = [L(z), M(z)], \quad \forall z \quad \dot{L}(z) = \{H, L(z)\} = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \{H, L_{ij}(z)\}$$

Then $H_k(z) = \text{tr}(L^k(z))$ are **generating functions of integrals of motion**:

$$\text{tr}(L^k(z)) = \sum_m (z - z_0)^m H_{k,m}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} H_{k,m} = 0 \quad \forall k, m$$

Introduce notation $\{L_1(z), L_2(w)\} = \sum_{ijkl} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \{L_{ij}(z), L_{kl}(w)\}$. If there exists such $r_{12} \in \text{Mat}^{\otimes 2}$ (classical r -matrix) that

$$\{L_1(z), L_2(w)\} = [L_1(z), r_{12}(z, w)] - [L_2(w), r_{21}(w, z)],$$

where $L_1(z) = L(z) \otimes 1$ and $L_2(w) = 1 \otimes L(w)$. Then $\{H_{i,m}, H_{j,n}\} = 0$.

The **Jacobi identity in $\text{Mat}^{\otimes 3}$** for the Poisson brackets

$$\{\{L_1(z_1), L_2(z_2)\} L_3(z_3)\} + \text{cycl.} = 0$$

is fulfilled if the **classical Yang-Baxter equation** holds true

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0, \quad r_{ij} = r_{ij}(z_i - z_j), \quad r_{12}(z) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \otimes 1_N,$$

$$r_{23}(z) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) 1_N \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}, \quad r_{13}(z) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) E_{ij} \otimes 1_N \otimes E_{kl}.$$

In quantum case it is generalized to the **quantum Yang-Baxter equation** for **quantum R -matrix**:

$$R_{12}^{\hbar} R_{13}^{\hbar} R_{23}^{\hbar} = R_{23}^{\hbar} R_{13}^{\hbar} R_{12}^{\hbar}, \quad R_{ij}^{\hbar} = R_{ij}^{\hbar}(z_i - z_j)$$

(here R -matrix is non-dynamical). In the quasi-classical limit

$$R_{12}^{\hbar} = 1 \otimes 1 + \hbar r_{12} + O(\hbar^2)$$

the latter reproduces the classical Yang-Baxter equation.

The Calogero-Moser model:

$$H_2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} - \nu^2 \sum_{i < j}^N \wp(q_i - q_j),$$

where ν – coupling constant, $\wp(q)$ – Weierstrass \wp -function. Its equations of motion are written in the Lax form with

$$L(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \nu\phi(z, q_1 - q_2) & \nu\phi(z, q_1 - q_3) & \dots & \nu\phi(z, q_1 - q_N) \\ \nu\phi(z, q_2 - q_1) & p_2 & \nu\phi(z, q_2 - q_3) & \dots & \nu\phi(z, q_2 - q_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \nu\phi(z, q_N - q_1) & \nu\phi(z, q_N - q_2) & \nu\phi(z, q_N - q_3) & \dots & p_N \end{pmatrix}$$

where z – spectral parameter (Krichever 1980). It is a local coordinate on (elliptic) curve.

$$L_{ij}(z) = \delta_{ij}p_i + \nu(1 - \delta_{ij})\phi(z, q_{ij}), \quad q_{ij} = q_i - q_j, \quad \phi(z, q) = \frac{\vartheta'(0)\vartheta(q+z)}{\vartheta(q)\vartheta(z)},$$

$$M_{ij}(z) = \nu d_i \delta_{ij} + \nu(1 - \delta_{ij})f(z, q_{ij}), \quad d_i = -\sum_{k \neq i}^N f(0, q_{ik}),$$

where $f(z, q) = \partial_q \phi(z, q)$.

$\phi(z, q)$ is the **elliptic Kronecker function**. It satisfies the **Fay identity (summation formula)**:

$$\phi(\hbar, q_1 - q_2)\phi(\eta, q_2 - q_3) = \phi(\hbar - \eta, q_1 - q_2)\phi(\eta, q_1 - q_3) + \phi(\eta - \hbar, q_2 - q_3)\phi(\hbar, q_1 - q_3)$$

for the **Kronecker function**:

$$\phi(\eta, z) = \begin{cases} 1/\eta + 1/z, \\ \coth(\eta) + \coth(z), \\ \frac{\vartheta'(0)\vartheta(\eta+z)}{\vartheta(\eta)\vartheta(z)}. \end{cases} \quad E_1(z) = \begin{cases} 1/z, \\ \coth(z), \\ \frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)}. \end{cases} \quad \wp(z) = \begin{cases} 1/z^2, \\ 1/\sinh^2(z), \\ -E_1'(z) + const \end{cases}$$

Degeneration:

$$\phi(z, q_{ab})f(z, q_{bc}) - f(z, q_{ab})\phi(z, q_{bc}) = \phi(z, q_{ac})(\wp(q_{ab}) - \wp(q_{bc})),$$

$$f(z, q) = \partial_q \phi(z, q), \quad f(0, q) = -\wp(q) + const$$

These identities are widely used in integrable systems (Lax equations, R -matrix structures, ...)

Quantum Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_1, q_2)R_{13}^{\hbar}(q_1, q_3)R_{23}^{\hbar}(q_2, q_3) = R_{23}^{\hbar}(q_2, q_3)R_{13}^{\hbar}(q_1, q_3)R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_1, q_2)$$

We assume $R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_1, q_2) = R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_1 - q_2) \equiv R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_{12}) \equiv R_{12}^{\hbar}$

Associative Yang-Baxter equation (A. Kirillov, A. Polishchuk):

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_{12})R_{23}^{\eta}(q_{23}) = R_{13}^{\eta}(q_{13})R_{12}^{\hbar-\eta}(q_{12}) + R_{23}^{\eta-\hbar}(q_{23})R_{13}^{\hbar}(q_{13})$$

Example of common solution: Yang's R -matrix

$$R_{12}^{\text{Yang}}(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1 \otimes 1}{\hbar} + \frac{NP_{12}}{q_1 - q_2}, \quad P_{12} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji},$$

where P_{12} is the matrix permutation operator: $P_{12}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

...,trigonometric (XXZ),..., elliptic Baxter-Belavin (XYZ).

In scalar case YB is empty condition while the **AYBE**

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(q_{12})R_{23}^{\eta}(q_{23}) = R_{13}^{\eta}(q_{13})R_{12}^{\hbar-\eta}(q_{12}) + R_{23}^{\eta-\hbar}(q_{23})R_{13}^{\hbar}(q_{13})$$

is the **Fay identity** (can be considered as functional equation for the Kronecker elliptic function)

$$\phi(\hbar, q_{12})\phi(\eta, q_{23}) = \phi(\hbar - \eta, q_{12})\phi(\eta, q_{13}) + \phi(\eta - \hbar, q_{23})\phi(\hbar, q_{13}), \quad q_{ij} = q_i - q_j$$

The quasi-classical limit

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(q) = \frac{1}{\hbar} 1 \otimes 1 + r_{12}(q) + \hbar m_{12}(q) + O(\hbar^2).$$

is similar to expansion of $\phi(\hbar, q)$ near $\hbar = 0$:

$$\phi(\hbar, q) = \hbar^{-1} + E_1(q) + \hbar (E_1^2(q) - \wp(q))/2 + O(\hbar^2), \quad E_1(q) = \wp'(q)/\wp(q)$$

$R_{12}^{\hbar}(q)$ – matrix analogue of $\phi(\hbar, q)$ and $r_{12}(q)$ – matrix analogue of $E_1(q) = \wp'(q)/\wp(q)$.

Euler-Arnold tops

Dynamical variables:

$$S = \sum_{i,j=1}^N S_{ij} E_{ij} \in \text{Mat}(N),$$

where E_{ij} is a standard basis in $\text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$.

The Euler-Arnold equations:

$$\dot{S} = [S, J(S)],$$

where

$$J(S) = \sum J_{ij,kl} S_{kl} E_{ij}$$

is a linear functional, and $J_{ij,kl}$ – some set of constants. From the point of view of rotation in multidimensional space J – inverse inertia tensor.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(SJ(S))$$

The Poisson brackets are given by the linear Poisson-Lie structure on \mathfrak{gl}_N^* :

$$\{S_{ij}, S_{kl}\} = \delta_{il} S_{kj} - \delta_{kj} S_{il}.$$

If R is a solution of associative Yang-Baxter equation (AYBE) with skew-symmetry and unitarity properties and the quasi-classical expansion

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(z) = \frac{1}{\hbar} 1_N \otimes 1_N + r_{12}(z) + \hbar m_{12}(z) + O(\hbar^2)$$

then the Lax equation

$$\dot{L}(z, S) = [L(z, S), M(z, S)]$$

holds true identically in z and is equivalent to the Euler-Arnold top equations with the following data:

$$L(z, S) = \text{tr}_2(r_{12}(z)S_2), \quad M(z, S) = \text{tr}_2(m_{12}(z)S_2), \quad S_2 = 1 \otimes S$$

$$r_{12}(z) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) E_{ij} \otimes E_{lk} \quad \text{tr}_2(r_{12}(z)S_2) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) E_{ij} \text{tr}(E_{kl}S) = \sum_{ijkl} r_{ij,kl}(z) S_{lk} E_{ij},$$

$$J(S) = \text{tr}_2(m_{12}(0)S_2)$$

where $r_{12}(z)$ and $m_{12}(z)$ comes from the quasi-classical expansion.

Example: Cherednik's 7-vertex trigonometric R -matrix

$$R^{\hbar}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \coth(z) + \coth(\hbar) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh^{-1}(\hbar) & \sinh^{-1}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh^{-1}(z) & \sinh^{-1}(\hbar) & 0 \\ -4e^{-2\Lambda} \sinh(z + \hbar) & 0 & 0 & \coth(z) + \coth(\hbar) \end{pmatrix}$$

provides

$$J(S) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2S_{11} - S_{22} & 0 \\ -24e^{-2\Lambda} S_{12} & -S_{11} + 2S_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

1+1 Integrable Field Theories

Instead of Lax equation we deal with the **zero curvature equation** known as the **Zakharov-Shabat equation**:

$$\partial_t U(z) - k \partial_x V(z) + [U(z), V(z)] = 0, \quad U(z), V(z) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C}),$$

In the limit to the finite-dimensional case the term $\partial_x V(z)$ vanishes, and the Zakharov-Shabat equation becomes the Lax equation, where $L(z)$ is the limit of $U(z)$, while $M(z)$ coincides with the limit of $V(z)$ up to some expression commuting with $L(z)$.

The conservation laws in the field case are generated by $\text{tr}(T^k(z, 2\pi))$, where $T(z, x) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is the **monodromy matrix**

$$T(z, x) = \text{Pexp}\left(\int_0^x dy U(z, y)\right).$$

The sufficient condition for the Poisson commutativity

$$\{\text{tr}(T^k(z, 2\pi)), \text{tr}(T^m(w, 2\pi))\} = 0$$

was suggested by J.-M. Maillet and is known as the **non-ultralocal Maillet bracket**:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{U_1(z, x), U_2(w, y)\} = \\ & \left(-k\partial_x \mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x) + [U_1(z, x), \mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x)] - [U_2(w, y), \mathbf{r}_{21}(w, z|x)] \right) \delta(x - y) - \\ & - \left(\mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x) + \mathbf{r}_{21}(w, z|x) \right) \delta'(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x) + \mathbf{r}_{21}(w, z|x) \neq 0$ then the bracket is called non-ultralocal.

For construction of the **U-V pair for Landau-Lifshitz gl_N magnet** we use degenerations of AYBE

$$R_{12}^{\hbar} R_{23}^{\eta} = R_{13}^{\eta} R_{12}^{\hbar-\eta} + R_{23}^{\eta-\hbar} R_{13}^{\hbar}, \quad R_{ab}^x = R_{ab}^x(z_a - z_b)$$

In particular, we have

$$[m_{13}(z_1) + m_{23}(z_2), r_{12}(z_1 - z_2)] = [m_{12}(z_1 - z_2) + m_{13}(z_1), r_{23}(z_2)]$$

$$[m_{13}(z), r_{12}(z)] = [r_{12}(z), m_{23}(0)] - [\partial_z m_{12}(z), NP_{23}] + [m_{12}(z), r_{23}^{(0)}] + [m_{13}(z), r_{23}^{(0)}],$$

where $r_{12}^{(0)}$ comes from the expansion

$$r_{12}(z) = \frac{1}{z} NP_{12} + r_{12}^{(0)} + O(z), \quad P_{12} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji},$$

where P_{12} is the matrix permutation operator: $P_{12}(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Also

$$r_{12}(z)r_{13}(z+w) - r_{23}(w)r_{12}(z) + r_{13}(z+w)r_{23}(w) = m_{12}(z) + m_{23}(w) + m_{13}(z+w).$$

$$r_{12}(z)r_{13}(z) = r_{23}^{(0)}r_{12}(z) - r_{13}(z)r_{23}^{(0)} - N\partial_z r_{13}(z)P_{23} + m_{12}(z) + m_{23}(0) + m_{13}(z).$$

Consider the special case:

$$S^2 = cS,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is some constant. This condition means that the eigenvalues of the matrix S are equal to either 0 or c .

Consider the following ansatz for U - V pair:

$$U(z) = L(S, z) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_2 \left(r_{12}(z) S_2 \right), \quad S_2 = 1_N \otimes S,$$

and

$$V(z) = -c \partial_z L(S, z) + L(SE(S), z) + L(E(S)S, z) - cL(T, z)$$

with the **definition of $E(A)$** , $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ given by the following linear map:

$$A \rightarrow E(A) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_2 \left(r_{12}^{(0)} A_2 \right), \quad A_2 = 1_N \otimes A, \quad r_{12}(z) = \frac{1}{z} NP_{12} + r_{12}^{(0)} + O(z)$$

and $T \in \operatorname{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is some dynamical matrix to be defined.

Then **the zero-curvature equation is equivalent to**

$$\partial_t S + c\partial_x T - \partial_x(SE(S) + E(S)S) = 2s_0[S, J(S)] + c[S, E(T)] + c[E(S), T],$$

where $s_0 = \text{tr}(S)/N$ **and**

$$-\partial_x S = [S, T].$$

The latter equation can be solved (when $S^2 = cS$) as

$$T = -c^{-2}[S, \partial_x S].$$

Finally, the gl_N **Landau-Lifshitz equation takes the form:**

$$\partial_t S - \frac{1}{c}[S, \partial_x^2 S] - \partial_x(SE(S) + E(S)S) = 2s_0[S, J(S)] - \frac{1}{c}[S, E([S, \partial_x S])] - \frac{1}{c}[E(S), [S, \partial_x S]]$$

This is the higher rank Landau-Lifshitz equation.

The most general is the **elliptic case**. It comes from the **elliptic Baxter-Belavin R -matrix** in the fundamental representation of GL_N .

Introduce the special matrix basis in $\text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$: $T_a = T_{a_1 a_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{N} a_1 a_2\right) Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2}$, where $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$, and $(Q_1)_{kl} = \delta_{kl} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} k\right)$, $(Q_2)_{kl} = \delta_{k-l+1=0 \pmod N}$. In particular, $T_{(0,0)} = 1_N$. The basis has the property $\text{tr}(T_\alpha T_\beta) = N \delta_{\alpha+\beta, (0,0)}$. The quantum R -matrix is as follows:

$$R_{12}^{\hbar}(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N} T_a \otimes T_{-a} \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{a_2 z}{N}\right) \phi\left(z, \frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} + \hbar\right) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})^{\otimes 2}.$$

The quasi-classical limit expansion provides the classical (Belavin-Drinfeld) r -matrix

$$r_{12}(z) = E_1(z) 1_N \otimes 1_N + \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a \otimes T_{-a} \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{a_2 z}{N}\right) \phi\left(z, \frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N}\right)$$

and the next coefficient

$$m_{12}(z) = \rho(z) 1_N \otimes 1_N + \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a \otimes T_{-a} \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{a_2 z}{N}\right) f\left(z, \frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N}\right),$$

where $f(z, u) = \partial_w \phi(z, w)|_{w=u}$ and $\rho(z) = (E_1^2(z) - \wp(z))/2$.

Then one finds

$$r_{12}^{(0)} = \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a \otimes T_{-a} \left(2\pi i \frac{a_2}{N} + E_1 \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} \right) \right),$$

so that

$$E(A) = \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a A_a \left(2\pi i \frac{a_2}{N} + E_1 \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} \right) \right)$$

And

$$m_{12}(0) = \frac{\vartheta'''(0)}{3\vartheta'(0)} 1_N \otimes 1_N - \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a \otimes T_{-a} E_2 \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} \right), \quad E_2(x) = -E_1'(z) = -\partial_z^2 \log \vartheta(z),$$

that is the inverse inertia tensor

$$J(S) = \frac{\vartheta'''(0)}{3\vartheta'(0)} 1_N S_{0,0} - \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a S_a E_2 \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} \right) = \frac{\vartheta'''(0)}{3\vartheta'(0)} S - \sum_{a \neq (0,0)} T_a S_a \wp \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N} \right).$$

In the last line we also used relation $E_2(z) = \wp(z) - \vartheta'''(0)/(3\vartheta'(0))$.

In particular case, when $N - 1$ eigenvalues coincide, we come to the matrix S of rank 1. In this case the obtained equation is simplified.

The case $\text{rank}(S) = 1$

If $\text{rank}(S) = 1$ then the matrix S is represented as $S = \xi \otimes \psi$, where ξ and ψ are N -dimensional vector column and row respectively. Then

$$S^2 = \text{tr}(S)S,$$

so that

$$c = \text{tr}(S) = N s_0.$$

The Landau-Lifshitz equation takes the form:

$$\partial_t S = \frac{1}{c} [S, \partial_x^2 S] + \frac{2c}{N} [S, J(S)] - 2[S, E(\partial_x S)].$$

Hamiltonian description. The equation

$$\partial_t S = \frac{1}{c} [S, \partial_x^2 S] + \frac{2c}{N} [S, J(S)] - 2[S, E(\partial_x S)].$$

can be easily described in the Hamiltonian formalism. The Poisson structure is given by

$$\{S_{ij}(x), S_{kl}(y)\} = (S_{kj}(x)\delta_{il} - S_{il}(x)\delta_{kj})\delta(x - y).$$

The **equations of motion**

$$\partial_t S(x) = \{H, S(x)\}$$

are reproduced by the following **Hamiltonian**:

$$H = \oint dy \left(\frac{c}{N} \operatorname{tr} \left(S J(S) \right) - \frac{1}{2c} \operatorname{tr} \left(\partial_y S \partial_y S \right) + \operatorname{tr} \left(\partial_y S E(S) \right) \right), \quad S = S(y).$$

The last term vanishes in $N = 2$ case.

Calogero-Moser model and its field generalization

Mechanics.

At the level of classical mechanics the Calogero-Moser model describes interaction of N particles on a complex plane generated by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H^{\text{CM}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} - c^2 \sum_{i>j}^N \wp(q_i - q_j),$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is a coupling constant and \wp is the Weierstrass \wp -function. The positions of particles $q_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and momenta $p_i \in \mathbb{C}$ are canonically conjugated:

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{p_i, p_j\} = \{q_i, q_j\} = 0.$$

The Hamiltonian provide **equations of motion** $\dot{f} = \{H, f\}$, i.e.

$$\dot{q}_i = p_i, \quad \dot{p}_i = c^2 \sum_{k:k \neq i}^N \wp'(q_{ik}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

which are represented in the **Lax form**

$$\dot{L}(z) + [L(z), M(z)] = 0, \quad L(z), M(z) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

with spectral parameter z , where

$$L_{ij}^{\text{CM}}(z) = \delta_{ij}(p_i + cE_1(z)) + c(1 - \delta_{ij})\phi(z, q_{ij}), \quad q_{ij} = q_i - q_j,$$

$$M_{ij}^{\text{CM}}(z) = -c\delta_{ij}d_i - c(1 - \delta_{ij})f(z, q_{ij}), \quad d_i = \sum_{k:k \neq i}^N \wp(q_{ik}),$$

The **classical r -matrix structure**:

$$\{L_1(z), L_2(w)\} = [L_1(z), r_{12}(z, w)] - [L_2(w), r_{21}(w, z)].$$

The permutation of r -matrix indices 12 or 21 means the permutation of the tensor components.

$$r_{12}(z, w) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^N E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} r_{ij,kl}(z, w), \quad r_{21}(z, w) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^N E_{kl} \otimes E_{ij} r_{ij,kl}(z, w),$$

where E_{ij} is the basis matrix units in $\text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$.

The **classical r -matrix**:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{12}^{\text{CM}}(z, w) &= \\ &= (E_1(z-w) + E_1(w)) \sum_{i=1}^N E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \phi(z-w, q_{ij}) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} - \sum_{i \neq j}^N \phi(-w, q_{ij}) E_{ii} \otimes E_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

The field generalization is highly nontrivial.

The **Hamiltonian**

$$\mathcal{H}^{2\text{dCM}} = \int_0^{2\pi} dx H^{2\text{dCM}}(x)$$

is defined by the **density**

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2\text{dCM}}(x) = & -\sum_{j=1}^N p_j^2 (kq_{j,x} + c) + \frac{1}{Nc} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N p_j (kq_{j,x} + c) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{k^4 q_{j,xx}^2}{kq_{j,x} + c} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \left((kq_{i,x} + c)^2 (kq_{j,x} + c) + (kq_{i,x} + c)(kq_{j,x} + c)^2 - ck^2 (q_{i,x} - q_{j,x})^2 \right) \wp(q_i - q_j) + \\ & + \frac{k^3}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \left(q_{i,x} q_{j,xx} - q_{i,xx} q_{j,x} \right) \zeta(q_i - q_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_{i,x} = \partial_x q_i(x)$ and $q_{i,xx} = \partial_x^2 q_i(x)$.

The canonical Poisson brackets

$$\{p_i(x), q_j(y)\} = \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y), \quad \{p_i(x), p_j(y)\} = \{q_i(x), q_j(y)\} = 0.$$

and the Hamiltonian provide **equations of motion**

$$\dot{q}_i \equiv \{H^{2\text{dCM}}, q_i\} = -2(kq_{i,x} + c) \left(p_i - \frac{1}{Nc} \sum_{j=1}^N p_j (kq_{j,x} + c) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_i \equiv \{H^{2\text{dCM}}, p_i\} = & -k \partial_x \left(p_i^2 - 2p_i \frac{1}{Nc} \sum_{j=1}^N p_j (kq_{j,x} + c) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^3 q_{i,xxx}}{kq_{i,x} + c} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{k^4 q_{i,xx}^2}{(kq_{i,x} + c)^2} \right) - \\ & - 2 \sum_{j:j \neq i}^N \left((kq_{j,x} + c)^3 \wp'(q_{ij}) - 3k^2 (kq_{j,x} + c) q_{j,xx} \wp(q_{ij}) - k^3 q_{j,xxx} \zeta(q_{ij}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Introduce notations

$$\alpha_i = (kq_{i,x} + c)^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

and

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{Nc} \sum_{j=1}^N p_j (kq_{j,x} + c) = -\frac{1}{Nc} \sum_{j=1}^N p_j \alpha_j^2.$$

Then the **Hamiltonian** and the **equations of motion** are written in a slightly more compact form:

$$H^{2\text{dCM}}(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 \alpha_i^2 + Nc\kappa^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N k^2 \alpha_{i,x}^2 + \\ + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \left(\alpha_i \alpha_{j,x} - \alpha_j \alpha_{i,x} + c(\alpha_{i,x} - \alpha_{j,x}) \right) \zeta(q_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \left(\alpha_i^4 \alpha_j^2 + \alpha_i^2 \alpha_j^4 - c(\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_j^2)^2 \right) \wp(q_{ij})$$

and

$$\dot{q}_i = -2\alpha_i^2 (p_i + \kappa),$$

$$\dot{p}_i = -k\partial_x \left(p_i^2 + 2\kappa p_i + k^2 \frac{\alpha_{i,xx}}{\alpha_i} \right) - 2 \sum_{j:j \neq i}^N \left(\alpha_j^6 \wp'(q_{ij}) - 6\alpha_j^3 \alpha_{j,x} \wp(q_{ij}) - k^2 \partial_x^2 (\alpha_j^2) \zeta(q_{ij}) \right).$$

It was shown by Akhmetshin, Krichever and Volvovski that the equations of motion are represented in the form of the Zakharov-Shabat equations

$$\partial_t U(z) - k \partial_x V(z) + [U(z), V(z)] = 0, \quad U(z), V(z) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C}),$$

with the U - V pair:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ij}^{2\text{dCM}}(z) &= \delta_{ij} \left(p_i + \alpha_i^2 E_1(z) - k \frac{\alpha_{i,x}}{\alpha_i} \right) + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \phi(z, q_i - q_j) \alpha_j^2, \\ V_{ij}^{2\text{dCM}}(z) &= \delta_{ij} \left(q_{i,t} E_1(z) - N c \alpha_i^2 \wp(z) - m_i^0 - \frac{\alpha_{i,t}}{\alpha_i} \right) + \\ &+ (1 - \delta_{ij}) \left(N c f(z, q_i - q_j) - N c E_1(z) \phi(z, q_i - q_j) - m_{ij} \phi(z, q_i - q_j) \right) \alpha_j^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_i^0 = p_i^2 + 2\kappa p_i + k^2 \frac{\alpha_{i,xx}}{\alpha_i} - \sum_{j:j \neq i}^N \left((2\alpha_j^4 + \alpha_i^2 \alpha_j^2) \wp(q_i - q_j) + 4k \alpha_j \alpha_{j,x} \zeta(q_i - q_j) \right),$$

and

$$m_{ij} = p_i + p_j + 2\kappa - k \frac{\alpha_{i,x}}{\alpha_i} + k \frac{\alpha_{j,x}}{\alpha_j} + \sum_{l:l \neq i,j}^N \alpha_l^2 \eta(q_i, q_l, q_j), \quad i \neq j,$$

$$\eta(z_1, z_2, z_3) = E_1(z_1 - z_2) + E_1(z_2 - z_3) + E_1(z_3 - z_1).$$

Classical r -matrix. Main statement is that the U -matrix satisfies the **Maillet r -matrix structure**

$$\begin{aligned} \{U_1(z, x), U_2(w, y)\} = & \\ & \left(-k\partial_x \mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x) + [U_1(z, x), \mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x)] - [U_2(w, y), \mathbf{r}_{21}(w, z|x)] \right) \delta(x - y) - \\ & - \left(\mathbf{r}_{12}(z, w|x) + \mathbf{r}_{21}(w, z|x) \right) \delta'(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

with the r -matrix for the finite-dimensional Calogero-Moser model, where positions of particles q_i are replaced with the fields $q_i(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{12}^{2\text{dCM}}(z, w|x) = & (E_1(z - w) + E_1(w)) \sum_{i=1}^N E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \\ & + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \phi(z - w, q_i(x) - q_j(x)) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} - \sum_{i \neq j}^N \phi(-w, q_i(x) - q_j(x)) E_{ii} \otimes E_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

It is **non-ultralocal** (the coefficient behind $\delta'(x - y)$ is not zero).

Gauge equivalence between 1+1 Calogero-Moser model and 1+1 Landau-Lifshitz model.

There exists $G(z, x) \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$ that the gauge transformation

$$U(z, x) \rightarrow G(z, x)U(z, x)G^{-1}(z, x) + k\partial_x G(z, x)G^{-1}(z, x)$$

which relates these two models in the case $\text{rank}(S) = 1$.

For the Landau-Lifshitz model the classical r -matrix is the non-dynamical elliptic Belavin-Drinfeld r -matrix:

$$r_{12}^{\text{BD}}(z) = E_1(z)1_N \otimes 1_N + \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N \\ a \neq (0,0)}} T_a \otimes T_{-a} \exp(2\pi i \frac{a_2 z}{N}) \phi(z, \frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N}),$$

being written in a special matrix basis (in $\text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C})$)

$$T_a = T_{a_1 a_2} = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{N} a_1 a_2\right) Q_1^{a_1} Q_2^{a_2} \in \text{Mat}(N, \mathbb{C}), \quad a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N,$$

defined in terms of the pair of matrices

$$(Q_1)_{kl} = \delta_{kl} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} k\right), \quad (Q_2)_{kl} = \delta_{k-l+1=0 \pmod N}.$$

The corresponding U -matrix is the one of the **Sklyanin type**, i.e.

$$U^{\text{SkI}}(z, x) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N \\ a \neq (0,0)}} T_a \mathcal{S}_a(x) \exp(2\pi i \frac{a_2 z}{N}) \phi(z, \frac{a_1 + a_2 \tau}{N}).$$

In the case $N = 2$ it is the U -matrix of the original Landau-Lifshitz model. The relation between r -matrices is given by the **intertwining matrix**

$$g(z, q) = \Xi(z, q) (d^0)^{-1} (q),$$

where $q = \{q_1, \dots, q_N\}$ and

$$\Xi_{ij}(z, q) = \vartheta \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{N}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{i}{N} \right] \left(z - Nq_j + \sum_{m=1}^N q_m \mid N\tau \right),$$

and the diagonal matrix

$$d_{ij}^0(q) = \delta_{ij} d_j^0 = \delta_{ij} \prod_{k:k \neq j}^N \vartheta(q_j - q_k).$$

Here q_i are some parameters.

Namely, consider

$$G(z, x) = g(z, q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x))$$

Then it can be show that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{12}^{\text{BD}}(z, w) = \mathbf{r}_{12}^{\text{BD}}(z, w|x) &= G_1(z, x)G_2(w, x) \left(\mathbf{r}_{12}^{2\text{dCM}}(z, w|x) - \right. \\ &\left. - G_1^{-1}(z, x) \{ G_1(z, x), U_2^{2\text{dCM}}(w, x) \} \right) G_1^{-1}(z, x) G_2^{-1}(w, x), \end{aligned}$$

This gauge transformation [relates ultralocal and non-ultralocal models](#).

Then the **gauge equivalence** relating the $U-V$ pairs provides **explicit change of variables**. For example, in the trigonometric case we have

$$S_{ij}(x) = \frac{(-1)^j \sigma_j(e^q)}{N} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{P_m \left(e^{(i-1)q_m} + (-1)^N \delta_{iN} e^{-q_m} \right) + N \alpha_m^2 (-1)^N \delta_{iN} e^{-q_m}}{\prod_{l:l \neq m}^N (e^{q_m} - e^{q_l})},$$

where $\sigma_j(e^q)$ – notation for the elementary symmetric functions and

$$P_m = -p_m - \frac{k\alpha_{mx}}{\alpha_m} - (i-1)\alpha_m^2 + \frac{(N-2)}{2} kq_{m,x} + \frac{\alpha_m^2}{2} \sum_{l:l \neq m}^N \coth\left(\frac{q_m - q_l}{2}\right)$$

for $m = 1, \dots, N$. Here

$$\text{Spec}(S) = (0, \dots, 0, c)$$

and

$$\text{tr}(S) = c, \quad S^2 = cS.$$

The Poisson brackets $\{S_{ij}(x), S_{kl}(y)\}$ being computed for the upper expressions by means of the canonical brackets, provide the linear Poisson brackets. That is **the map between two models is a Poisson map**.

The talk is based on papers:

- A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Hitchin Systems – Symplectic Hecke Correspondence and Two-dimensional Version*, Commun. Math. Phys. 236 (2003) 93–133; arXiv:nlin/0110045.
- A.V. Zotov, *1+1 Gaudin Model*, SIGMA 7 (2011), 067; arXiv:1012.1072 [math-ph].
- A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Noncommutative extensions of elliptic integrable Euler-Arnold tops and Painleve VI equation*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49:39 (2016) 395202; arXiv:1603.06101 [math-ph].
- K. Atalikov, A. Zotov, *Field theory generalizations of two-body Calogero-Moser models in the form of Landau-Lifshitz equations*, J. Geom. Phys., 164 (2021) 104161; arXiv:2010.14297 [hep-th].
- K. Atalikov, A. Zotov, *Higher rank 1+1 integrable Landau-Lifshitz field theories from associative Yang-Baxter equation*, JETP Lett. 115, 757-762 (2022); arXiv:2204.12576 [math-ph].
- A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *Field analogue of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model*, JHEP 07 (2022) 023; arXiv: 2107.01697 [math-ph].
- K. Atalikov, A. Zotov, *Gauge equivalence of 1+1 Calogero-Moser-Sutherland field theory and higher rank trigonometric Landau-Lifshitz model*, Theoret. and Math. Phys., 219:3 (2024), 1004–1017.
- A. Zotov, *Non-ultralocal classical r -matrix structure for 1+1 field analogue of elliptic Calogero-Moser model*, (2024), J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 57 (2024) 315201.

Thank you!