

Linearised Analysis of the Coxeter Higher Spin Theory II

A.A. Tarusov^{1 2}

¹Lebedev Physical Institute

²MIPT

Moscow, LPI, Fradkin Centennial Conference, 4 September 2024

Table of content

- 5 Entangled Module
- 6 Modified Shifted Homotopy Technique and free equations
- 7 Results

Entangled Module

One module is missing from previous considerations as it is not a product of two standard modules for $R(k)\bar{R}(\bar{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The covariant consistency equation takes form

$$\left(D_L + \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \left[y_{\alpha 1} (\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} + \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 2}) + \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} (\partial_{\alpha 1} + \partial_{\alpha 2}) \dots \right] - \right. \\ \left. - \frac{i}{2} h^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \left[y_{\alpha 1} (\bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} - \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha} 2}) - \partial_{\alpha 1} (\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} - \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 2}) + \dots \right] \right) C(Y_1, Y_2; \hat{k}, \hat{k}|_X) = 0$$

As the first step, we move from Y_A^i to a doubled set of oscillators $a_{1,2A}$, $b_{1,2}^B$ with the star product

$$(f * g)(a, b) = \frac{1}{\pi^8} \int d^4 u_{1,2} d^4 v_{1,2} d^4 s_{1,2} d^4 t_{1,2} f(a+u, b+t) g(a+s, b+v) \\ \times \exp\left(2s_{1A}t_1^A - 2u_{1A}v_1^A + 2s_{2A}t_2^A - 2u_{2A}v_2^A\right).$$

$$[a_{iA}, b_j^B]_* = \delta_{ij} \delta_A^B, \quad [a_{iA}, a_{iB}]_* = 0, \quad [b_i^A, b_j^B]_* = 0$$

following M.A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002), 066006

The bilinears spanning $gl(4, \mathbb{C}) \oplus gl(4, \mathbb{C})$

$$T_{iA}{}^B = a_{iA}b_i{}^B \equiv \frac{1}{2}(a_{iA} * b_i{}^B + b_i{}^B * a_{iA}). \quad i = 1, 2$$

can be quotiented by the central element

$$N_i = a_{iA}b_i{}^A \equiv \frac{1}{2}(a_{iA} * b_i{}^A + b_i{}^A * a_{iA}).$$

resulting in generators of $sl(4, \mathbb{C}) \oplus sl(4, \mathbb{C})$

$$t_{iA}{}^B = (a_{iA}b_i{}^B - \frac{1}{4}\delta_A{}^B N_i).$$

By imposing reality conditions we single out the $su(2, 2) \oplus su(2, 2)$ real part, which splits our oscillators into pairs of two-component spinors

$$[a_{i\alpha}, b_j^\beta]_* = \delta_{ij} \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad [\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}}, \tilde{b}_j^{\dot{\beta}}]_* = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}},$$
$$\bar{a}_{i\alpha} = \tilde{b}_{i\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{b}_i^\alpha = \tilde{a}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{\tilde{a}}_{i\dot{\alpha}} = b_{i\alpha}, \quad \bar{\tilde{b}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = a_i^\alpha.$$

Fock Module

One can introduce vacua for each set of the oscillators

$$a_{i\alpha} * \pi^i_1 = 0, \quad b_i^\alpha * \pi^i_2 = 0, \quad a_{i\alpha} * \pi^i_3 = 0, \quad b_i^\alpha * \pi^i_4 = 0, \\ \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} * \pi^i_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} * \pi^i_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} * \pi^i_3 = 0, \quad \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} * \pi^i_4 = 0.$$

realised as

$$\pi^i_1 = \exp\left\{-2a_{i\alpha} b_i^\alpha + 2\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}, \quad \pi^i_2 = \exp\left\{2a_{i\alpha} b_i^\alpha - 2\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}, \\ \pi^i_3 = \exp\left\{-2a_{i\alpha} b_i^\alpha - 2\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}, \quad \pi^i_4 = \exp\left\{2a_{i\alpha} b_i^\alpha + 2\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\right\}.$$

The space of states is

$$|C^{11}\rangle = C^{11}(2b_1, 2\tilde{a}_1, 2b_2, 2\tilde{a}_2)\pi^1_1 \pi^2_1.$$

Fock Module

Introducing the flat $su(2, 2) \oplus su(2, 2)$ connection

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0 = \omega_0^\alpha{}_\beta (L^1{}_\alpha{}^\beta + L^2{}_\alpha{}^\beta) + \bar{\omega}_0^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} (\bar{L}^1{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \bar{L}^2{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}) + \\ + h_0^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} (P^1{}_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} + P^2{}_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} + K^{1\dot{\beta}}{}_\alpha + K^{2\dot{\beta}}{}_\alpha),\end{aligned}$$

where the $su(2, 2)$ generators are realised in a canonical way

$$\begin{aligned}L^i{}_\alpha{}^\beta &= a_{i\alpha} b_i{}^\beta - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\alpha{}^\beta a_{i\gamma} b_i{}^\gamma, & P^i{}_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} &= a_{i\alpha} \tilde{b}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}}, \\ \bar{L}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} &= \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\gamma}} \tilde{b}_i{}^{\dot{\gamma}}, & K^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\beta &= \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} b_i{}^\beta, \\ D^i &= \frac{1}{2} (a_{i\alpha} b_i{}^\alpha - \tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{b}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}),\end{aligned}$$

the twisted-adjoint modules can be constructed by restricting the Fock modules with an equation

$$d_x |C^{11}\rangle + \omega_0 * |C^{11}\rangle = 0.$$

Automorphism of the oscillator algebra

Since there exist automorphisms on the oscillator algebra, there is no need to consider each module independently. The following two are of a particular interest to us

$$\left\{ \rho_i(a_{i\alpha}) = b_{i\alpha}, \quad \rho_i(b_i^\alpha) = a_i^\alpha, \quad \bar{\rho}_i(\tilde{a}_{i\dot{\alpha}}) = \tilde{b}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \quad \bar{\rho}_i(\tilde{b}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}) = \tilde{a}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \hat{k}_i, \hat{\bar{k}}_i,$$

$$\left\{ \psi_+(a_{1\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2 + a_1 - a_2)_\alpha, \quad \psi_+(a_{2\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2 + a_2 - a_1)_\alpha, \right. \\ \left. \psi_+(b_1^\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2 + b_1 - b_2)^\alpha, \quad \psi_+(b_2^\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2 + b_2 - b_1)^\alpha \right\} \Leftrightarrow \hat{k}_{12}^+$$

Transitioning between modules

The composition of these automorphism allows us to arrive at the equations for the entangled module

$$d_x |C^{11}\rangle + \rho_i(\psi_+(\omega_0)) * |C^{11}\rangle = 0.$$

To construct the unitary module we introduce a new set of oscillators $e_{\nu A}^i$ and $f_{A\nu}^i$ such that

$$[e_{\nu A}^i, e_{\mu B}^j]_* = 0, \quad [f_{A\nu}^i, f_{B\mu}^j]_* = 0, \quad [e_{\nu A}^i, f_{B\mu}^j]_* = \delta^{ij} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} K_{AB},$$

where $K_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Transitioning between modules

- Bilinears of these operators realise $sp(8) \oplus sp(8) \supset su(2, 2) \oplus su(2, 2)$
- Total energy operator

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(f_1^{i\lambda} e_{\lambda 1}^i + f_2^{i\lambda} e_{\lambda 2}^i \right).$$

- A Fock module associated with $e_{\nu A}^i$ and $f_{A\nu}^i$

$$e_{\nu 1}^i * \Pi = 0, \quad f_{2\nu}^{i\mu} * \Pi = 0, \quad \Pi * e_{\nu 2}^i = 0, \quad \Pi * f_{1\nu}^{i\mu} = 0.$$

Transitioning between modules

New oscillators are related to old ones via a Bogoliubov transform

$$e_{11}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_{i1} + i\tilde{a}_{i2}), \quad e_{12}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_{i1} - i\tilde{a}_{i2}), \quad \dots$$
$$f^{i1}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(b_{i2} + i\tilde{b}_{i1}), \quad f^{i1}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-b_{i2} + i\tilde{b}_{i1}), \quad \dots$$

Fock module F is suitable for the description of physical states when it satisfies two conditions

- F is a highest/lowest weight module meaning the energy E is bounded from above/from below.
- F admits an invariant positive-definite Hermitian form, i.e. F is unitary.

Transitioning between modules

These conditions are true for the twisted-adjoint module, but for the entangled module, a check shows that they cannot be both satisfied. To achieve the lowest-weight representation, the energy needs to be diagonal:

$$\rho_1 \psi_+(E) = \sum_{a=1}^8 v_a^- v_a^+.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_a^- = \{ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ie_{21}^2 + f_{2^1}^2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ie_{11}^2 + f_{2^2}^2), \frac{1}{2}(ie_{22}^1 - f_{1^1}^1 + f_{2^1}^2 - ie_{21}^2), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(ie_{12}^1 - f_{1^1}^2 + ie_{11}^2 - f_{2^2}^2), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ie_{11}^1 + f_{2^2}^1), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ie_{21}^1 + f_{2^1}^1), \\ & \frac{1}{2}(ie_{12}^2 - ie_{11}^1 - f_{1^1}^2 + f_{2^2}^1), \frac{1}{2}(f_{2^1}^1 + f_{2^1}^1 - ie_{21}^1 - ie_{22}^2) \}, \\ v_a^+ = \{ & \dots \}, \end{aligned}$$

Transitioning between modules

There exists no positive-definite Hermitian form that leads to $\rho_1 \psi_+(E) = \sum_a (v_a^+)^{\dagger} v_a^+$. Therefore, this module cannot represent physical states.

In the flat limit:

$$\left(d_x + \frac{i}{2} h^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \left(\partial_{\alpha 1} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} + \partial_{\alpha 1} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 2} + \partial_{\alpha 2} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 2} - \partial_{\alpha 2} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha} 1} \right) \right) C(Y_1, Y_2|x) = 0.$$

This equation admits plane wave solutions

$$C(Y_1, Y_2|x) = \exp \left\{ i \left(A^{IJ} \xi_{I\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{J\dot{\alpha}} x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \delta^{IJ} \xi_{I\alpha} y_J^{\alpha} + \delta^{IJ} \bar{\xi}_{I\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}_J^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) \right\},$$

where ξ , $\bar{\xi}$ are the Fourier partners for y and \bar{y} and

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consistent truncation to the physical sector

- Since this module is entirely non-unitary, it thus needs to be excluded from the complete non-linear system
- A consistent truncation of the unfolded system can be achieved by restricting the system to an invariant space of some automorphism. We consider total Klein parity.
- $S * S(-k) = S * S(k) \implies B(-k) = -B(k)$ The only such fields belong to the product of adjoint and twisted-adjoint modules

$$S * S = i \left(dZ^{A_n} dZ_{A_n} + \sum_i \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_i} \left[\eta_i B \frac{v^n v^m}{(v, v)} dz_n^\alpha dz_{\alpha m} * \varkappa_v \hat{k}_v + \bar{\eta}_i B \frac{v^n v^m}{(v, v)} d\bar{z}_n^{\dot{\alpha}} d\bar{z}_{\dot{\alpha} m} * \bar{\varkappa}_v \hat{k}_v \right] \right)$$

Modified Shifted Homotopy Technique

To reconstruct the free equations (Central On-Shell Theorem) we modify the shifted homotopy technique ¹

$$\Delta_Q g(Y, Z; dZ) = (Z_n^A + I_n Q_n^A) \frac{\partial}{\partial dZ_n^A} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{t} g(Y, tZ_i - (1-t)I_i Q_i; tdZ)$$

Along with a cohomology projector

$$h_Q f(Z; dZ) = f(-I_n Q_n; 0).$$

satisfy usual identities

$$\Delta_Q \Delta_P = -\Delta_P \Delta_Q.$$

$$h_P \Delta_Q = -h_Q \Delta_P.$$

¹V.E.Didenko, O.A.Gelfond, A.V.Korybut and M.A.Vasiliev, 2018

Modified Shifted Homotopy Technique

$$h_c \Delta_b \Delta_a f(y, z) dz^{n\mu} dz_{n\mu} = \\ = 2 \int_{[0,1]^3} d^3 \tau \delta(1 - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3) I_n I_m (b - c)_{n\nu} (a - c)^{m\nu} f(y, -\tau_1 c - \tau_3 b - \tau_2 a).$$

$$h_c \Delta_b \Delta_a \hat{\gamma}_v = 2 \int_{[0,1]^3} d^3 \tau \delta(1 - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \tau_3) (b - c)_{n\nu} (a - c)_m^\nu \frac{v^n v^m}{(v, v)} \\ \times \exp \left\{ -i \frac{v^p v^q}{(v, v)} (\tau_1 c + \tau_2 a + \tau_3 b)_{p\alpha} y_q^\alpha \right\} \hat{k}_v.$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_v = \exp \left(i \frac{v^p v^q}{(v, v)} z_{\alpha p} y_q^\alpha \right) \frac{v^n v^m}{(v, v)} dz_n^\alpha dz_{\alpha m} \hat{k}_v$$

Central On-Shell Theorem (general Coxeter group)

The obvious difference comes in the star-exchange properties:

$$\Delta_{q+\alpha y} \hat{\gamma}_v * C(y) = C(y) * \Delta_{q+\alpha y + (1-\alpha)lp - (1+\alpha)IR_v(p)} \hat{\gamma}_v.$$

And thus the vertices themselves are somewhat modified

$$\Upsilon^\eta(\omega, C, \omega) = -\frac{1}{4i} \sum_k \eta_k \sum_{v \in \mathcal{R}_k} \omega * C * \omega * \left(h_{l(t_1+t_2+p)} \Delta_{l(p+t_1+t_2-R_v(t_2))} \Delta_{l(p+t_2)} \hat{\gamma}_v + h_{l(p+t_1+t_2-R_v(t_2))} \Delta_{l(p+t_2-R_v(t_2))} \Delta_{l(p+t_2)} \hat{\gamma}_v \right),$$

Central On-Shell Theorem (B_2 group)

The Central On-Shell theorem in the $R(\hat{K}_C)\bar{R}(\hat{K}_C) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Upsilon_{\text{tot}}^{\eta_1}(\Omega_{AdS}, \Omega_{AdS}, C) = -\frac{i\eta_1}{2} \bar{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}_1^{\dot{\beta}} C(0, y_2, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2; \hat{K}_C|x) * \hat{k}_1 + \\ \frac{i\eta_1}{2} \bar{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{y}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}_2^{\dot{\beta}} C(y_1, 0, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2; \hat{K}_C|x) * \hat{k}_2,$$

$$\Upsilon_{\text{tot}}^{\eta_2}(\Omega_{AdS}, \Omega_{AdS}, C) = \\ \frac{i\eta_2}{4} \bar{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{y}_2 - i\bar{\partial}_1)^{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{y}_2 - i\bar{\partial}_1)^{\dot{\beta}} C\left(\frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2), \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2), \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2; \hat{K}_C|x\right) * \hat{k}_{12} + \\ \frac{i\eta_2}{4} \bar{H}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\bar{y}_2 + i\bar{\partial}_1)^{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{y}_2 + i\bar{\partial}_1)^{\dot{\beta}} C\left(\frac{1}{2}(y_1 - y_2), -\frac{1}{2}(y_1 - y_2), \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2; \hat{K}_C|x\right) * \hat{k}_{12}^+$$

Results

- An embedding of the AdS_4 solution into a Coxeter model demanding equating idempotents I and \bar{I}
- For the case of the B_2 model, all possible covariant derivatives and the resulting modules have been found
- All modules are either tensor products of modules in the standard theory or belong to a new class of infinite-dimensional non-unitarizable modules
- A consistent truncation of non-linear systems which eliminates the entangled modules has been found
- A restriction to unitary submodules of C field interpreted as boundary conditions
- Generalization of the Central On-Shell theory has been found for a general Coxeter group by an uplifted shifted homotopy technique. In the case of B_2 all linear vertices have been presented

Question Time