

Meson mass spectrum in 't Hooft's QCD_2 model

A.L. and Pavel Meshcheriakov
E. Fradkin Centennial Conference 2024

- Main goal: understand basic mechanism of confinement
- We study toy model: 't Hooft's model or $N_c = \infty$ QCD₂

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{N_c}{4g^2} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_f} \bar{\Psi}_k (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_k) \Psi_k.$$

- At $N_c = \infty$ the Bethe Salpeter equation is exact

$$\left[\frac{\alpha_1}{x} + \frac{\alpha_2}{1-x} \right] \phi(x) - \int_0^1 dy \frac{\phi(y)}{(x-y)^2} = 2\pi^2 \lambda \phi(x),$$

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\pi m_i^2}{g^2} - 1, \quad M_n^2 = 2\pi g^2 \lambda_n.$$

- Local goal: analytic properties of $\lambda_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ at complex α_k ?

- Chiral limit $m_k \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to critical point (Gepner 1988, Affleck 1989):

$$N_c \text{WZW}[U(N_f)]$$

- It is interesting to study the vicinity of this fixed point: Hamiltonian truncation method is very efficient (Fitzpatrick et al)
- Other fixed points? Requires analytic properties of $\lambda_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.
- Fateev, Lukyanov, Zamolodchikov 2009: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$
- This talk: $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$.

- Fourier transform

$$\phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{\frac{i\nu}{2}} \Psi(\nu), \quad \Psi(\nu) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{2x(1-x)} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{-\frac{i\nu}{2}} \phi(x).$$

The Fourier form of 't Hooft's equation ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$)

$$\left(\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} + \nu \coth \frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \Psi(\nu) - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\pi(\nu' - \nu)}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi(\nu' - \nu)}{2}} \Psi(\nu') = 0.$$

In this form it is amenable for numeric solution.

- $\Psi(\nu)$ is a meromorphic function of ν with simple poles at

$$i\nu_k^* + 2iN, \quad -i\nu_k^* - 2iN, \quad N \geq 0,$$

where $\pm i\nu_k^*$ are roots of the equation ($\text{Re } \nu_k^* > 0$ for $\text{Re } \alpha > -1$)

$$\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} + \nu \coth \frac{\pi\nu}{2} = 0.$$

- If we define the new function $Q(\nu)$ by the following expression

$$Q(\nu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cosh \frac{\pi\nu}{2} \left(\nu + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \tanh \frac{\pi\nu}{2} \right) \Psi(\nu),$$

we conclude that the Q -function has to satisfy the following properties

1. be analytic in the strip $\text{Im } \nu \in [-2, 2]$;
2. grow slower than any exponential at $|\text{Re } \nu| \rightarrow \infty$

$$Q(\nu) = \mathcal{O}(e^{\epsilon|\nu|}), \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \quad |\text{Re } \nu| \rightarrow \infty;$$

3. obey the quantization conditions

$$Q(0) = Q(\pm 2i) = 0.$$

- $Q(\nu)$ satisfies finite difference equation (Baxter's TQ equation)

$$Q(\nu + 2i) + Q(\nu - 2i) - 2Q(\nu) = -\frac{2z}{\nu + \alpha x}Q(\nu),$$

$$z = 2\pi\lambda \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{2}{\pi} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right).$$

- Integrability of large N_c QCD₂?
- We will derive the spectral sums

$$G_+^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad G_-^{(1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{2n+1}} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right],$$

$$G_+^{(s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}^s} \quad \text{and} \quad G_-^{(s)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n+1}^s}, \quad s > 1,$$

and WKB expansion.

- If we drop the quantization condition $Q(0) = Q(\pm 2i) = 0$ then $\Psi(\nu|\lambda)$ will satisfy the inhomogeneous integral equation

$$\left(\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} + \nu \coth \frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \Psi(\nu) - \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\pi(\nu' - \nu)}{2 \sinh \frac{\pi(\nu' - \nu)}{2}} \Psi(\nu') = F(\nu|\lambda),$$

$$F(\nu|\lambda) = \frac{q_+(\lambda)\nu + q_-(\lambda)}{\sinh \frac{\pi\nu}{2}},$$

where $q_{\pm}(\lambda)$ are linear combinations of $Q(0)$ and $Q(\pm 2i)$.

- It can be shown that for given $q_{\pm}(\lambda)$ the solution of is unique.
- We can choose a basis of symmetric and antisymmetric functions

$$\Psi_{\pm}(-\nu|\lambda) = \pm \Psi_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda),$$

corresponding to

$$F_+(\nu|\lambda) = \frac{\nu}{\sinh \frac{\pi\nu}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_-(\nu|\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\pi\nu}{2}}.$$

- At the spectral points one has to recover the homogeneous equation.
- Thus $\Psi_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda)$ are meromorphic functions of λ

$$\Psi_{+}(\nu|\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n} \Psi_{2n}(\nu)}{\lambda - \lambda_{2n}}, \quad \Psi_{-}(\nu|\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n+1} \Psi_{2n+1}(\nu)}{\lambda - \lambda_{2n+1}},$$

- Quantum Wronskian

$$W(\nu|\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{+}(\nu + i)Q_{-}(\nu - i) - Q_{+}(\nu - i)Q_{-}(\nu + i) = 2i.$$

- We have

$$Q_{+}(i) \sim \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_{2n+1})}{(\lambda - \lambda_{2n})} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{-}(i) \sim \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_{2n})}{(\lambda - \lambda_{2n+1})}.$$

- Spectral determinants

$$D_+(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{2n}}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda}{n+1}},$$

$$D_-(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{2n+1}}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda}{n+1}}.$$

can be written in terms of the spectral sums as

$$D_{\pm}(\lambda) = \exp \left[- \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s^{-1} G_{\pm}^{(s)} \lambda^s \right].$$

- We propose the following relations

$$\partial_{\lambda} \log D_-(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \partial_{\nu} \log Q_+(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i},$$

$$\partial_{\lambda} \log D_+(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi^2} \lambda^{-1}\right) \partial_{\nu} \log Q_-(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i},$$

where $q(\alpha) = \dots$

We have to find a solution of

$$Q(\nu + 2i) + Q(\nu - 2i) - 2Q(\nu) = -\frac{2z}{\nu + \alpha x}Q(\nu),$$

$$z = 2\pi\lambda \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{2}{\pi} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right).$$

analytic in the strip $\text{Im}\nu \in [-2, 2]$.

For small $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ there are two solutions (FLZ)

$$\Xi(\nu|\lambda) = (\nu + \alpha x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{i(\nu + \alpha x)}{2}\right)_k}{k!(k+1)!} (-iz)^k,$$

$$\Sigma(\nu|\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{i(\nu + \alpha x)}{2}\right)_k}{k!(k-1)!} \left(\psi\left(k + \frac{i(\nu + \alpha x)}{2}\right) - \psi(k) - \psi(k+1) \right) (-iz)^k.$$

Both of them fail to satisfy the analytic requirements.

We notice that $\Sigma(\nu|\lambda)$ solves TQ equation even if we replace

$$\psi\left(k + \frac{i(\nu + \alpha x)}{2}\right) \rightarrow \psi_\alpha(\nu - 2i(k - 1)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\psi_\alpha(\nu)$ is a function analytic in the strip $\text{Im } \nu \in [0, 2)$ which obeys the functional relation

$$\psi_\alpha(\nu + 2i) = \psi_\alpha(\nu) + \frac{2i}{\nu + \alpha x}.$$

Such a function is unique up to a constant shift:

$$\psi_\alpha(\nu + i) = -\gamma_E - \log 4 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty - i\epsilon}^{\infty - i\epsilon} \frac{1}{t + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \tanh \frac{\pi t}{2}} \left(\tanh \frac{\pi t}{2} - \tanh \frac{\pi(t - \nu)}{2} \right) dt.$$

Then we define

$$M_+(\nu|\lambda) = e^{\frac{iz}{2}} \Xi(\nu|\lambda),$$

$$M_-(\nu|\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\frac{iz}{2}} \Sigma(\nu|\lambda) + e^{-\frac{iz}{2}} \Sigma(-\nu|\lambda) \right),$$

so that

$$M_{\pm}(-\nu|\lambda) = \mp M_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda).$$

But still we have poles at $\nu = \pm i$ of growing order.

We look for solutions of TQ equation in the form

$$Q_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda) = A_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda) M_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda) + B_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda) z M_{\mp}(\nu|\lambda),$$

$$\tau = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \tanh^2 \left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2} \right)$$

where $A_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda)$ and $B_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda)$ admit the expansion

$$A_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_{\pm}^{(s)}(\tau) \lambda^s, \quad B_{\pm}(\tau|\lambda) = -(1 \pm 1) \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} \lambda^{-1} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{\pm}^{(s)}(\tau) \lambda^s,$$

The functions $a_{\pm}^{(s)}(\tau)$ and $b_{\pm}^{(s)}(\tau)$ are polynomials in τ of degree s and $s + 1$ respectively. They are uniquely determined by the requirement of absence of poles at $\nu = \pm i$. For example

$$a_{+}^{(1)}(\tau) = -\frac{8\alpha}{\pi^2}\tau, \quad a_{+}^{(2)}(\tau) = \left[1 - \frac{24\alpha(\pi^2 - 7\alpha\zeta(3))}{\pi^4} - \frac{12\alpha^3 u_3(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \right] \tau - \frac{80\alpha^2}{\pi^4} \tau^2$$

$$b_{+}^{(0)}(\tau) = \left[\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2\alpha(\pi^2 - 7\alpha\zeta(3))}{\pi^4} - \frac{\alpha^3 u_3(\alpha)}{\pi^2} \right] - \frac{4\alpha^2}{\pi^4} \tau$$

where

$$u_{2k-1}(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh^2 t}{t \cosh^{2k-1} t (\alpha \sinh t + t \cosh t)} dt.$$

Using the relations

$$\partial_\lambda \log D_-(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \partial_\nu \log Q_+(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i},$$

$$\partial_\lambda \log D_+(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi^2} \lambda^{-1}\right) \partial_\nu \log Q_-(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i},$$

we obtain

$$G_+^{(1)} = \log(8\pi) - 1 - \frac{7\alpha\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} (u_1(\alpha) - \alpha u_3(\alpha)),$$

$$G_-^{(1)} = \log(8\pi) - 3 + \frac{7\alpha\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} (u_1(\alpha) + \alpha u_3(\alpha)),$$

$$G_+^{(2)} = 7\zeta(3) + 8\alpha \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \right] + \frac{4\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \left[-\frac{28\zeta(3)}{3} + \frac{49\zeta^2(3)}{\pi^2} + \frac{62\zeta(5)}{\pi^2} \right] +$$

$$+ \left[-\frac{\pi^2}{2} + 4\alpha + 4\alpha^2 - \frac{28\alpha^2\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \right] \alpha u_3(\alpha) + \alpha^4 u_3^2(\alpha) - 4\alpha^3 u_5(\alpha),$$

$$G_-^{(2)} = 2 - \frac{4\alpha}{3} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \left[\frac{14}{3}\zeta(3) - \frac{31}{\pi^2}\zeta(5) \right] - 2\alpha^3 u_3(\alpha) + 2\alpha^3 u_5(\alpha),$$

etc. We have computed and verified numerically $G_\pm^{(s)}$ for $s \leq 7$.

At large **negative** λ another hypergeometric series solve our TQ equation

$$S(\nu) = (-\lambda)^{-\frac{i\nu}{2}} S_0(\nu) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{i(\nu+\alpha x)}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{i(\nu+\alpha x)}{2}\right)_k}{k!} (iz)^{-k},$$

provided that $S_0(\nu)$ satisfies the functional relation

$$S_0(\nu + 2i) = \frac{4\pi \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right)}{\nu + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right)} S_0(\nu).$$

We take

$$S_0(\nu + i) = \exp \left[\frac{i}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left(\frac{4\pi \tanh\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)}{t + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \tanh\left(\frac{\pi t}{2}\right)} \right) \left(\tanh \frac{\pi(t-\nu)}{2} - \tanh \frac{\pi t}{2} \right) dt \right],$$

which is analytic in the strip $\text{Im } \nu \in [-2, 2]$ *except* one point $\nu = -2i$ where it has a simple pole.

It is impossible to meet the analyticity requirements because of poles at $\nu = 0$, $\nu = \pm i$ and $\nu = \pm 2i$ of growing order.

We resolve this problem similar to the small λ case

$$Q_{\pm}(\nu|\lambda) = T(c^{-1}|\lambda)R_{\pm}(c|\lambda)S(\nu) \mp T(-c^{-1}|\lambda)R_{\pm}(-c|\lambda)S(-\nu),$$

where

$$c(\nu) = i\pi \coth\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right).$$

We look for the functions $T(c^{-1}|\lambda)$ and $R_{\pm}(c|\lambda)$ in the form of asymptotic expansion at large λ

$$T(c^{-1}|\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{(k)}(c^{-1})\lambda^{-k} \quad R_{\pm}(c|\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_{\pm}^{(k)}(c|\log(-\lambda))\lambda^{-k},$$

where $T^{(k)}(c^{-1})$ and $R_{\pm}^{(k)}(c|\log(-\lambda))$ are polynomials in their variables.

We have found exact formula for $T(y|\lambda)$

$$T(y|\lambda) = \exp \left[\alpha f \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi^2 \lambda} \right) y \right],$$

where

$$f(t) = \frac{\sqrt{1-2t} - 1 + t(1 + \log 4) - 2t \log(1 + \sqrt{1-2t})}{t}.$$

While

$$R_{\pm}(c|\lambda) = 1 \pm \frac{(1+\alpha)c}{4\pi^4} \lambda^{-2} \pm \frac{(1+\alpha)c(6c + 6q_1 \mp (1+\alpha))}{24\pi^6} \lambda^{-3} + \dots,$$

$$q_1 = 3(1+\alpha) - 2 \log(-\lambda) - 4is_1(\alpha).$$

Here

$$s_1(\alpha) = -\frac{i}{2}(1 + \log 2\pi + \gamma_E) + \frac{i\alpha}{8} i_1(\alpha),$$

with

$$i_{2k-1}(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh 2t - 2t}{t \sinh^{2k-1} t (\alpha \sinh t + t \cosh t)} dt.$$

We have computed the coefficients $R_{\pm}^{(k)}(c|\log(-\lambda))$ for $k \leq 8$.

We obtain the asymptotic expansion of the spectral determinants $D_{\pm}(\lambda)$

$$D_{\pm}(\lambda) = d_{\pm} \left(8\pi e^{-2+\gamma_E}\right)^{\lambda} (-\lambda)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{8} \pm \frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(F_{\pm}^{(0)}(L) + F_{\pm}^{(1)}(L)\lambda^{-1} + \dots\right),$$

where $F_{\pm}^{(k)}(L)$ are polynomials in $L = \log(-2\pi\lambda) + \gamma_E$

$$F_{\pm}^{(0)}(L) = -\frac{\alpha L^2}{2\pi^2} - \frac{(3\pi^2 + 16\alpha \log 2 - 2\alpha^2 i_2(\alpha))L}{8\pi^2},$$

$$F_{\pm}^{(1)}(L) = \frac{\alpha^2 L}{2\pi^4} + \frac{\alpha\pi^2 + 4\alpha^2(\log 16 - 1) - 2\alpha^3 i_2(\alpha) \mp \pi^2(4 + 8\alpha)}{16\pi^4},$$

where

$$i_{2k}(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh t(\sinh 2t - 2t)}{t \cosh^{2k} t (\alpha \sinh t + t \cosh t)} dt,$$

and

$$\frac{d_-}{d_+} = \frac{\sqrt{2(1+\alpha)}}{\pi}.$$

We have constructed the expansion of $D_{\pm}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$. However, the physical values of the meson masses belong to the sector of positive values of λ . We conjecture that the correct analytic continuation is

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{\pm}(-e^{-i\pi} \lambda) + D_{\pm}(-e^{+i\pi} \lambda) \right).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\pm}(\lambda) = & 2d_{\pm} \left(8\pi e^{-2+\gamma_E} \right)^{\lambda} \lambda^{\lambda - \frac{1}{8} \pm \frac{1}{4}} \exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l) \lambda^{-k} \right) \times \\ & \times \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left[2\lambda - \frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l) \lambda^{-k} \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $\Xi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l)$ and $\Phi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l)$ are polynomials in

$$l = \log(2\pi\lambda) + \gamma_E.$$

They are related to the polynomials $F_{\pm}^{(k)}(L)$ in a simple way

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{\pm}^{(k)}(l + i\pi) + F_{\pm}^{(k)}(l - i\pi) \right), \\ \Phi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{i}{\pi} \left(F_{\pm}^{(k)}(l - i\pi) - F_{\pm}^{(k)}(l + i\pi) \right).\end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $\Phi_{\pm}^{(k)}(l)$ are responsible for quantization conditions

$$2\lambda - \frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{2} + \Phi_{\pm}^{(0)}(l) + \Phi_{\pm}^{(1)}(l)\lambda^{-1} + \dots = 2m + 1, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We have computed $\Phi_{\pm}^{(s)}(l)$ for $s \leq 7$. For example

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\pm}^{(0)}(l) &= -\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\alpha(4 \log 4 - \alpha i_2(\alpha))}{2\pi^2} - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi^2}l, \quad \Phi_{\pm}^{(1)}(l) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^4}, \quad \Phi_{\pm}^{(2)}(l) = \frac{\alpha^3 \pm \pi^2(1 + \alpha)}{2\pi^6}, \\ \Phi_{\pm}^{(3)}(l) &= \frac{1}{12\pi^8} \left[5\alpha^4 + \pi^2(1 + \alpha)^2 \mp 12\pi^2(1 + \alpha) \left(l - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4}i_1(\alpha) \right) \right], \\ \Phi_{\pm}^{(4)}(l) &= -\frac{(1 + \alpha)^2}{4\pi^8}l + \frac{7\alpha^5 + \pi^2(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 5\alpha) + \pi^2(1 + \alpha)^2\alpha i_1(\alpha)}{16\pi^{10}} \mp \left[-\frac{3(\alpha + 1)}{2\pi^8}l^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1 + \alpha)(22\alpha + 3\alpha i_1(\alpha) + 10)}{4\pi^8}l + \frac{8\pi^2(4 + 3\alpha) - (1 + \alpha)(8 + 24\alpha(4 + 5\alpha) - (20 + 44\alpha + 3\alpha i_1(\alpha))\alpha i_1(\alpha))}{32\pi^8} \right],\end{aligned}$$

Thus we derive the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n = & \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{\alpha}{\pi^2} \log \rho + \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^4} \frac{2 \log \rho - 1}{n} - \\ & - \frac{1}{\pi^4} \frac{1}{n^2} \left[\frac{2\alpha^3}{\pi^2} \log^2 \rho - \frac{6\alpha^3}{\pi^2} \log \rho + \frac{3\alpha^3}{\pi^2} + (-1)^n (1 + \alpha) \right] + \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi^6} \frac{1}{n^3} \left[\frac{8\alpha^4}{3\pi^2} \log^3 \rho - \frac{16\alpha^4}{\pi^2} \log^2 \rho + \frac{24\alpha^4}{\pi^2} \log \rho - \frac{29\alpha^4 + \pi^2(1 + \alpha)^2}{3\pi^2} + \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^n (1 + \alpha) (4(1 + \alpha) \log \rho - (2 + 8\alpha + 8 \log 2 + \alpha i_1(\alpha))) \right] + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\log^4 n}{n^4} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$n = n + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi^2} i_2(\alpha), \quad \rho = 4\pi e^{\gamma E} \left(n + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi^2} i_2(\alpha) \right).$$

We derived WKB formula up to $\frac{1}{n^6}$. Numerics shows that already at $n = 1$ the accuracy is quite high!

What about analytic properties of $\lambda_n(\alpha)$?

We remind that all the spectral sums are expressed in terms of

$$u_{2k-1}(\alpha) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh^2 t}{t \cosh^{2k-1} t (\alpha \sinh t + t \cosh t)} dt.$$

The integrand in $u_{2k-1}(\alpha)$ has poles at t_k :

$$\alpha \sinh t + t \cosh t = 0.$$

For real $\alpha > -1$ they are imaginary: $2k - 2 < \text{Im } t_k < 2k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

However, for complex α , poles from the lower half-plane can penetrate into the upper half-plane and hit some pole there. This gives rise to singularities of $u_{2k-1}(\alpha)$ at

$$\alpha_k = -\cosh^2 \tau_k, \quad 2\tau_k = \sinh[2\tau_k].$$

At any of α_k (for example $\alpha_1 = -1$) some mass has to vanish!

Future plans:

- Proof of

$$\partial_\lambda \log D_-(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \partial_\nu \log Q_+(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i},$$

$$\partial_\lambda \log D_+(\lambda) + q(\alpha) = 2i \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi^2} \lambda^{-1} \right) \partial_\nu \log Q_-(\nu) \Big|_{\nu=i}.$$

- $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$: work in progress

- $1/N_c$ corrections: $M_k^2(\alpha) \sim (\alpha - \alpha_k)^{\beta_k}$?

**Thank you
for your attention!**