

# $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric higher spins in the harmonic approach

Evgeny Ivanov (BLTP JINR, Dubna)

“Efim FRADKIN 100”

Lebedev Institute, September 2 - 6, 2024

In collaboration with

Joseph Buchbinder and Nikita Zaigraev,

2109.07639, 2202.08196, 2212.14114, 2306.10401,  
2402.05704, 2404.19016

# Outline

Supersymmetry and higher spins

Harmonic superspace

$\mathcal{N} = 2$  spin 1 multiplet

$\mathcal{N} = 2$  spin 2 multiplet

$\mathcal{N} = 2$  spin 3 and higher spins

Hypermultiplet couplings

Superconformal couplings

Fully consistent higher-spin hypermultiplet coupling

Summary and outlook

# Supersymmetry and higher spins

- ▶ Supersymmetric higher-spin theories provide a bridge between superstring theory and low-energy (super)gauge theories.
- ▶ Free massless bosonic and fermionic higher spin field theories: [Fronsdal, 1978](#); [Fang, Fronsdal, 1978](#).
- ▶ The natural tools to deal with supersymmetric theories are off-shell superfield methods. In the superfield approach the supersymmetry is closed on the off-shell supermultiplets and so is automatically manifest.
- ▶ The component approach to  $4D, \mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetric free massless higher spin models: [Courtright, 1979](#); [Vasiliev, 1980](#).
- ▶ The complete off-shell  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superfield Lagrangian formulation of  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  free higher spins: [Kuzenko et al, 1993, 1994](#).

- ▶ An off-shell superfield Lagrangian formulation for higher-spin **extended** supersymmetric theories, with all supersymmetries manifest, was unknown for long even for free theories.
- ▶ This gap was filled in [I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zagraev, JHEP 12 \(2021\) 016](#). An off-shell manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric unconstrained formulation of  $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$  super Fronsdal theory for integer spins was constructed in the harmonic superspace approach.
- ▶ Manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric off-shell cubic couplings of  $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$  to the matter hypermultiplets were further constructed in [I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zagraev, 2022, 2023](#).
- ▶ Quite recently, we generalized HSS non-conformal construction to the case of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal multiplets and their hypermultiplet coupling ([arXiv:2404.19016 \[hep-th\]](#), [JHEP 08 \(2024\) 120](#)).
- ▶ Our papers opened a new domain of applications of the harmonic superspace formalism, that time in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher-spin theories.

# Harmonic superspace

- ▶ In 4D, the only self-consistent off-shell superfield formalism for  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  (and  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ ) theories is the harmonic superspace approach (Galperin, Ivanov, Kalitzin, Ogievetsky, Sokatchev, 1984, 1985).

- ▶ Harmonic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspace:

$$Z = (x^m, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j}, u^{\pm i}), \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)/U(1), \quad u^+ u^- = 1.$$

- ▶ Analytic harmonic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspace:

$$\zeta_A = (x_A^m, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}, u^{\pm i}), \quad \theta^{+\alpha, \dot{\alpha}} := \theta^{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad x_A^m := x^m - 2i\theta^{(j} \sigma^m \bar{\theta}^{i)} u_i^+ u_j^+$$

- ▶ All basic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superfields are analytic:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{SYM} : & V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \text{matter hypermultiplets} : \mathbf{q}^+(\zeta_A), \bar{\mathbf{q}}^+(\zeta_A) \\ \text{supergravity} : & H^{++m}(\zeta_A), H^{++\alpha+}(\zeta_A), H^{++5}(\zeta_A), \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}) \end{array}$$

# $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 1 multiplet

- ▶ An instructive example is Abelian  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory,

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \delta V^{++} = D^{++}\Lambda(\zeta_A), \quad D^{++} = \partial^{++} - 4i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge (8 + 8 off-shell degrees of freedom):

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A) = (\theta^+)^2\phi + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\bar{\phi} + 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^{+\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}^i u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2(\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{(ik)} u_i^- u_k^-.$$

- ▶ Invariant action:

$$S \sim \int d^{12}Z (V^{++}V^{--}), \quad D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} = 0, \quad \delta V^{--} = D^{--}\Lambda, \\ [D^{++}, D^{--}] = D^0, \quad D^0 V^{\pm\pm} = \pm 2 V^{\pm\pm}.$$

## $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 2: linearized $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity

- ▶ Analogs of  $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$  are the following set of analytic gauge potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( h^{++m}(\zeta_A), h^{++5}(\zeta_A), h^{++\hat{\mu}+}(\zeta_A) \right), \quad \hat{\mu} = (\mu, \dot{\mu}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++m} = D^{++}\lambda^m + 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++5} = D^{++}\lambda^5 - 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}_\alpha^+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \delta_\lambda h^{++\hat{\mu}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+\hat{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++m} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+\Phi_a^m + [(\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{mi}u_i^- + \text{c.c.}] + \dots \\ h^{++5} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+C_a + \dots, \quad h^{++\mu+} = \dots \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The residual gauge freedom:

$$\lambda^m \Rightarrow a^m(x), \lambda^5 \Rightarrow b(x), \lambda^{\mu+} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu i}(x)u_i^+ + \theta^{+\nu}l_{(\nu}^{\mu)}(x).$$

- ▶ The physical fields are  $\Phi_a^m, \psi_\mu^m, C_a$  ( $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3/2}, \mathbf{3/2}, \mathbf{1})$  on shell). In the “physical” gauge:

$$\Phi_a^m \sim \Phi_{\beta\dot{\beta}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \Phi_{(\beta\alpha)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\Phi.$$

# $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

- ▶ The spin 3 triad of analytic gauge superfields is introduced as :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\zeta), h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+}(\zeta), h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}(\zeta)\}, \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = D^{++}\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + \theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^{+\beta})(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}], \\ & \delta h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D^{++}\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}\theta_{\beta}^{+} + \bar{\lambda}^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+}], \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \delta h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The bosonic physical fields in WZ gauge are collected in

$$h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \dots \quad h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \dots$$

- ▶ The physical gauge fields are  $\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}$  (spin 3 gauge field),  $C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  (spin 2 gauge field) and  $\psi_{\gamma}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}$  (spin 5/2 gauge field). The rest of fields are auxiliary. On shell, **(3, 5/2, 5/2, 2)**.



- ▶ The general case with the maximal spin  $\mathbf{s}$  is spanned by the analytic gauge potentials

$$h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)+}(\zeta), h^{++\dot{\alpha}(s-1)\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta),$$

$$\text{where } \alpha(\mathbf{s}) := (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s), \dot{\alpha}(\mathbf{s}) := (\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_s).$$

- ▶ The relevant gauge transformations can also be defined and shown to leave, in the WZ-like gauge, the physical field multiplet  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1}/2, \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})$ .
- ▶ The on-shell spin contents of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher-spin multiplets;

$$\underline{\text{spin 1}} : 1, (1/2)^2, (0)^2$$

$$\underline{\text{spin 2}} : 2, (3/2)^2, 1$$

$$\underline{\text{spin 3}} : 3, (5/2)^2, 2$$

.....

$$\underline{\text{spin } s} : s, (s - 1/2)^2, s - 1$$

- ▶ Each spin enters the direct sum of these multiplets twice, in accord with the general **Vasiliev** theory of  $4D$  higher spins. The off-shell contents of the spin  $\mathbf{s}$  multiplet:  $8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_B + 8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_F$ .

# Hypermultiplet couplings

- ▶ The construction of interactions in the theory of higher spins is a very important (albeit difficult) task.
- ▶ Supersymmetric  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  generalizations of the bosonic cubic vertices with matter were explored in terms of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superfields by [Gates](#), [Koutrolikos](#), [Kuzenko](#), [I. Buchbinder](#), [E. Buchbinder](#) and many others.
- ▶ In [JHEP 05 \(2022\) 104](#) we have constructed the off-shell manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric cubic couplings  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{s})$  of an arbitrary higher integer superspin  $\mathbf{s}$  gauge  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplet to the hypermultiplet matter in  $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$  harmonic superspace.
- ▶ In our approach  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry of cubic vertices is always manifest and off-shell, in contrast, e.g., to the non-manifest light-cone formulations ([Metsaev, 1905.11357, 1909.05241](#)).

- ▶ The starting point is the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  hypermultiplet off-shell free action:

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} = - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} q_a^+, \quad a = 1, 2.$$

- ▶ Analytic gauge potentials for any spin  $\mathbf{s}$  with the correct transformation rules can be recovered by proper gauge-covariantization of the harmonic derivative  $\mathcal{D}^{++}$ . The simplest option is gauging of  $U(1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta q^{+a} &= -\lambda_0 J q^{+a}, \quad J q^{+a} = i(\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{++} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} = h^{++} J, \\ \delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}], \quad \hat{\Lambda} = \lambda J \Rightarrow \delta_\lambda h^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity, that is for  $\mathbf{s} = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(2)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(2)}) q_a^+, \quad \delta \mathcal{H}_{(2)} = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}], \\ \mathcal{H}_{(2)} &= h^{++M}(\zeta) \partial_M, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)} = \lambda^M(\zeta) \partial_M, \quad M := (\alpha\beta, 5, \hat{\mu}+). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For higher  $\mathbf{s}$  all goes analogously. For  $\mathbf{s} = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(3)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(3)} J) q_a^+, \\ \delta \mathcal{H}_{(3)} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)}], \quad \mathcal{H}_{(3)} = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)} = \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

# Superconformal couplings

- ▶ Free conformal higher-spin actions in  $4D$  Minkowski space were pioneered by [Fradkin & Tseytlin, 1985](#); [Fradkin & Linetsky, 1989, 1991](#). Since then, a lot of works on (super)conformal higher spins followed (e.g., [Segal, 2003](#), [Kuzenko \*et al\*, 2017, 2023](#) .....).
- ▶ (Super)conformal higher-spin theories are considered as a basis for all other types of higher-spin models. Non-conformal ones follow from the superconformal ones through couplings to the **superfield compensators**.
- ▶ In ([Buchbinder, Ivanov, Zaigraev, arXiv:2404.19016 \[hep-th\]](#)), we extended the off-shell  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  higher spins and their hypermultiplet couplings to the superconformal case. Rigid  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  superconformal symmetry plays a crucial role in fixing the structure of the theory. Similar results were recently obtained by [Kuzenko, Raptakis, arXiv:2407.21573 \[hep-th\]](#), in projective  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  superspace.
- ▶  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  SCA preserves harmonic analyticity and is a closure of the rigid  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry and special conformal symmetry

$$\delta_\epsilon \theta^{+\hat{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad \delta_\epsilon x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -4i \left( \epsilon^{\alpha i} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \right) u_i^-, \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}),$$
$$\delta_k \theta^{+\alpha} = x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} k_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \theta^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \delta_k x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\rho\dot{\alpha}} k_{\rho\dot{\rho}} x^{\dot{\rho}\alpha}, \quad \delta_k u^{+i} = (4i \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) u^{-i}.$$

- ▶ What about the conformal properties of various analytic higher-spin potentials? No problems with the spin **1** potential  $V^{++}$ :

$$\delta_{sc} V^{++} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} V^{++}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{sc} := \lambda_{sc}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda_{sc}^{\hat{\alpha}+} \partial_{\hat{\alpha}+} + \lambda_{sc}^{++} \partial^{--}$$

- ▶ The cubic vertex  $\sim q^{+a} V^{++} J q_a^+$  is invariant up to total derivative if

$$\delta_{sk} q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} q^{+a} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega q^{+a}, \quad \Omega := (-1)^{P(M)} \partial_M \lambda^M$$

Moreover, this vertex is invariant under arbitrary analytic superdiffeomorphisms,  $\Lambda_{sk} \rightarrow \Lambda(\zeta)$ .

- ▶ Situation gets more complicated for  $\mathbf{s} \geq 2$ . Requiring  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge potentials for  $\mathbf{s} = 2$  to be closed under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCA necessarily leads to

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} := h^{++M} \partial_M = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++\alpha+} \partial_{\alpha}^- + h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^- + h^{(+4)} \partial^{--}$$

$$\delta_{k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} h^{(+4)} = -\hat{\Lambda} h^{(+4)} + 4i h^{++\alpha+} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 4i \theta^{+\alpha} h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$$

It is impossible to avoid introducing the extra potential  $h^{(+4)}$  for ensuring conformal covariance. The extended set of potentials embodies  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  **Weyl multiplet** ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal SG gauge multiplet).

- ▶ For  $\mathbf{s} \geq 3$  the gauge-covariantization of the free  $q^{+a}$  action requires adding the gauge superfield differential operators of rank  $\mathbf{s} - 1$  in  $\partial_M$ ,

$$D^{++} \rightarrow D^{++} + \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++}(\mathcal{J})^{P(s)}, \quad P(s) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{s-1}}{2}$$

- ▶ For  $\mathbf{s} = 3$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)} = h^{++MN} \partial_N \partial_M + h^{++}, \quad h^{++MN} = (-1)^{P(M)P(N)} h^{++NM}$$

- ▶  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCA mixes different entries of  $h^{++MN}$ , so we need to take into account all these entries, as distinct from non-conformal case where it was enough to consider, e.g.,  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ .
- ▶ The spin  $\mathbf{3}$  gauge transformations of  $q^{+a}$  and  $h^{++MN}$  leaving invariant the action  $\sim q^{+a}(D^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)})q_a^+$  are

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} q^{+a} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \{ \hat{\Lambda}^M + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^M, \partial_M \}_{AGB} \mathcal{J} q^{+a} \equiv -\kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{(s=3)} \mathcal{J} q^{+a},$$

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} = [D^{++}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{(s=3)}],$$

$$\hat{\Lambda}^M := \sum_{N \leq M} \lambda^{MN} \partial_N, \quad \Omega^M := \sum_{N \leq M} (-1)^{[P(N)+1]P(M)} \partial_N \lambda^{NM},$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_{AGB} = [F_1, F_2], \quad \{B_1, B_2\}_{AGB} = \{B_1, B_2\}.$$

- ▶ All the potentials except  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$  can be put equal to zero using the original extensive gauge freedom:

$$S_{int|fixed}^{(s=3)} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} J q_a^+. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ In such a gauge one is led to accompany the superconformal transformations by the proper compensating gauge transformations in order to preserve the gauge, so the final SC transformations are **nonlinear** in  $h^{++M\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ .
- ▶ Using the linearized gauge transformations of  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 4i\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + 4i\theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^{+\beta})(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda^{++(\alpha\dot{\alpha})\theta^{+\beta}}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha} - \lambda^{++\alpha(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}}}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 4i\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{+\alpha++} + 4i\theta^{+\alpha} \lambda^{+\dot{\alpha}++}, \end{aligned}$$

we can find WZ gauge for the spin 3 gauge supermultiplet

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= -4i\theta^{+\rho} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta^+ \psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^-, \\ &\quad + (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\psi}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})ij} u_i^- u_j^-, \\ h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}_\nu^+ P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta_\nu^+ T^{(\alpha\beta\nu)\dot{\alpha}} + (\theta^+)^4 \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^-, \\ h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ In the bosonic sector: the spin  $\mathbf{s} = 3$  gauge field,  $SU(2)$  triplet of conformal gravitons, singlet conformal graviton, spin  $\mathbf{1}$  gauge field and non-standard field which gauges self-dual two-form symmetry:

$$\Phi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho})}, V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(ij)}, P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})}, D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, T^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)\dot{\alpha}}$$

In the fermionic sector: conformal spin  $\mathbf{5/2}$  and spin  $\mathbf{3/2}$  gauge fields:

$$\psi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}, \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i}$$

- ▶ They carry total of  $40 + 40$  off-shell degrees. Starting from  $\mathbf{s} = 3$ , all the component fields are gauge fields, no auxiliary fields are present.
- ▶ The sum of conformal spin  $\mathbf{2}$  and spin  $\mathbf{3}$  actions

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \mathcal{J} \right) q_a^+$$

is invariant with respect to the (properly modified) spin  $\mathbf{3}$  transformations to the leading order in  $\kappa_3$  and to any order in  $\kappa_2$ . Thus the cubic vertex  $(\mathbf{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  is invariant under the gauge transformations of conformal  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SG and we obtain the superconformal vertex of the spin  $\mathbf{3}$  supermultiplet on *generic*  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Weyl SG background.

- ▶ The whole consideration can be generalized to the general integer higher-spin  $\mathbf{s}$  case:  $8(2s - 1)_B + 8(2s - 1)_F$  d.o.f. off shell.



# Fully consistent higher-spin hypermultiplet coupling

- ▶ The superconformal cubic vertices  $(\mathbf{s}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  are consistent to the leading order in the higher-spin analogs of Einstein constant. These can be made invariant with respect to gauge transformations also in the next orders.
- ▶ Come back to the simplest case of the spin **3** in curved superspace:

$$S_{(s=3)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathfrak{D}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \mathcal{J} \right) q_a^+.$$

It is gauge invariant to the leading order in  $\kappa_3$ . In the next order we have the following spin **3** gauge transformation of the hypermultiplet:

$$\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \left( -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} q^{+a} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \mathcal{J} q_a^+ \right) = -\frac{\kappa_3^2}{4} q^{+a} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++}, \left\{ \hat{\Lambda}^M + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^M, \partial_M \right\}_{AGB} \right] q_a^+.$$

We gained the differential operator of the third order in superspace derivatives. It can be compensated (modulo a total derivative) by the proper gauge transformation of the spin **4** superconformal multiplet

$$\kappa_4 \delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=4)}^{++} = -\frac{\kappa_3^2}{4} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++}, \left\{ \hat{\Lambda}^M + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^M, \partial_M \right\}_{AGB} \right].$$

- ▶ So the action

$$S_{s=3,4} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} \mathcal{J} + \kappa_4 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=4)}^{++} \right) q_a^+$$

respects the spin  $\mathbf{s} = 3$  gauge invariance to  $\kappa_3^2$  order.

- ▶ However, the action we started with is not invariant in the  $\kappa_3 \kappa_4$  order. Then the procedure just described can be continued step by step.
- ▶ To summarize the procedure, we introduce an analytic differential operator with all integer higher spins:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} := \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++} (\mathcal{J})^{P(s)}.$$

- ▶ The action of the infinite tower of integer  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal higher spins interacting with the hypermultiplet in an arbitrary  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity background then reads:

$$S_{full} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} \right) q_a^+. \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Ascribing the proper gauge transformation to  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}$ , one can achieve gauge invariance to any order in the couplings constants. The total hypermultiplet gauge transformation reads

$$\delta_\lambda q^{+a} = -\hat{u}_{hyp} q^{+a} = -\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{u}_s (J)^{P(s)} q^{+a},$$

$$\hat{u}_s q^{+a} := \sum_{k=s, s-2, \dots} \hat{u}_s^{(k)} q^{+a}$$

This transformation acts linearly on the hypermultiplet superfield.

- ▶ For the set of gauge superfields we obtain the transformation law:

$$\delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} = \left[ \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}, \hat{u}_{gauge} \right], \quad \hat{u}_{gauge} := \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{u}_s.$$

It mixes different spins, so it is a non-Abelian deformation of the spin  $\mathbf{s}$  transformation laws. In the lowest order, it becomes Abelian and reproduces the sum of transformations of all integer spins  $\mathbf{s} \geq 1$ .

- ▶ The invariance under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity transformations is automatic. So we have constructed the fully consistent gauge-invariant and conformally invariant interaction of hypermultiplet with an infinite tower of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher spins in an arbitrary  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity background.

## Summary and outlook

The theory of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric higher spins  $s \geq 3$  opens a new promising direction of applications of the harmonic superspace approach which earlier proved to be indispensable for description of more conventional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theories with maximal spins  $s \leq 2$ . Once again, the basic property underlying these new higher-spin theories is the harmonic Grassmann analyticity (all basic gauge potentials are unconstrained analytic superfields involving an infinite number of degrees of freedom off shell before fixing WZ-type gauges).

## Under way:

- ▶ The linearized actions of conformal higher-spin  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplets ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  analogs of the square of Weyl tensor)?
- ▶ Quantization, induced actions,...
- ▶  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric half-integer spins?
- ▶ An extension to AdS background? Superconformal compensators? The  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS<sub>4</sub> supergroup  $OSp(2|4; R) \subset SU(2, 2|2)$ , so the conformal invariance already implies AdS<sub>4</sub> invariance. It remains to pick up the appropriate super-compensator.
- ▶ From the linearized theory to its full nonlinear version? At present, the latter is known only for  $s \leq 2$  ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super Yang - Mills and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravities). This problem seemingly requires accounting for **ALL** higher  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspins simultaneously. New supergeometries?

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION!