

# Peculiarities of Schwinger – DeWitt technique: one-loop double poles, surface terms, and determinant anomalies

arXiv:2408.16174

Alexey E. Kalugin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology  
P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute

Efim Fradkin centennial conference  
September 2024

# Heat kernel method in background field formalism

## Heat kernel

- Functional method in QFT
- Allows for both UV and IR approximations on an arbitrary background
- Used in QFT in curved spacetimes, quantum gravity, cosmology, etc. [Vassilevich (2003), Avramidi (2000)]

$$K_F(s | x, y) \equiv e^{sF} \delta(x, y), \quad F^{-1} = - \int_0^\infty ds K_F(s), \quad \log \text{Det } F = - \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \text{Tr } K_F(s) \quad (1)$$

## Asymptotic UV expansion

Schwinger – DeWitt technique for hermitian operator  $F$ ,  $\text{ord } F = 2k$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M} = d$  [DeWitt (1965), Schwinger (1961), Gilkey (1984), McKean, Singer (1967),...]

$$\text{Tr } e^{sF} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^d x K_F(s | x, y) |_{y=x} = \frac{1}{s^{d/2k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n s^{n/2k}, \quad s \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

$A_n$  contains both volume and surface terms [McKean, Singer (1967)]

$$A_n = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^d x E_n(x) + \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} d^{d-1} x B_n(x), \quad (3)$$

with non-zero  $E_{2m}(x) = \frac{a_m(x,x)}{(4\pi)^{d/2k}}$  for even  $n = 2m$ .

Two types of surface terms:

- $B_n(x)$  are heavily dependent on boundary conditions and properties of  $\partial \mathcal{M}$  [Kirsten (2002), Gilkey (2004)]
- total derivative terms in  $E_{2m}(x)$

## The operator

$$F_{AB}(\nabla)\delta(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\delta^2 S[\varphi]}{\delta\varphi^A(x)\delta\varphi^B(y)}, \quad \hat{F}(\nabla)\hat{G}(x, y) = -\hat{1}\delta(x, y), \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma^{1-\text{loop}} = \frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det } \hat{F}(\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log \hat{F}(\nabla). \quad (5)$$

## Principal symbol

For  $F_{AB}(\nabla)$  of order  $2k$ :

$$F_{AB}(\nabla) = D_{AB}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2k}} \nabla_{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha_{2k}} + \Pi_{AB}(\nabla), \quad (6)$$

where  $\text{ord } \Pi_{AB}(\nabla) \leq 2k - 1$ . Matrix  $D_{AB}(n)$

$$D_{AB}(n) \equiv D_{AB}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2k}} n^{\alpha_1} \dots n^{\alpha_{2k}} \quad (7)$$

for some  $n^\alpha$  is the *principal symbol* of  $F_{AB}(\nabla)$

## Minimal, nonminimal, and causal operators

Operator  $F_{AB}(\nabla)$  is *minimal*, if its principal symbol has the form

$$D_{AB}(n) = C_{AB}(g_{\alpha\beta} n^\alpha n^\beta)^k, \quad (8)$$

where (a non-zero) matrix  $C_{AB}$  is invertible and independent of  $n^\alpha$ .

Operator  $F_{AB}(\nabla)$  is *causal* if

$$\det D_{AB}(n) = C(g_{\alpha\beta} n^\alpha n^\beta)^k \text{tr } \delta_{AB} \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

## Minimal (second order) operator

$$\hat{F}_2(\nabla) = \hat{\square} + \hat{P}, \quad (10)$$

Early-time asymptotic expansion  $s \rightarrow 0$  [DeWitt (1965)]

$$\hat{K}_{F_2}(s|x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{\Delta^{1/2}(x, y)}{s^{d/2}} g^{1/2}(y) \exp\left[-\frac{\sigma(x, y)}{2s}\right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \hat{a}_n^{F_2}(x, y), \quad s \rightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

where  $\sigma(x, y)$  is the Synge world function

$$\sigma(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\text{geodesic distance between } x \text{ and } y)^2, \quad (12)$$

$\Delta(x, y)$  is the dedensitized Pauli – van Vleck – Morette determinant

$$\Delta(x, y) = g^{-1/2}(x)g^{-1/2}(y) \left| \det \partial_\alpha^x \partial_\beta^y \sigma(x, y) \right| \quad (13)$$

$\hat{a}_n^{F_2}(x, y)$  are the *Schwinger – DeWitt coefficients*

Hence,  $\text{Tr } \hat{K}_{F_2}$  is given in terms of coincidence limits  $[\hat{a}_n](x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{a}_n(x, y)|_{y=x}$  which are calculated iteratively

$$\text{Tr } \hat{K}_{F_2}(s) = \int d^d x \frac{g^{1/2}}{(4\pi s)^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \text{tr}[\hat{a}_n^{F_2}](x), \quad (14)$$

$$\log \text{Det } \hat{F}_2 \Big|_d^{\text{div}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{1}{\omega - d/2} \int d^d x g^{1/2} \text{tr}[\hat{a}_{d/2}^{F_2}](x), \quad \omega \rightarrow d/2 - 0. \quad (15)$$

## Perturbation theory

$$\hat{F}(\nabla|\lambda) = \square \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} - \lambda \nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \quad (16)$$

Let  $\hat{F}(\nabla) \equiv \hat{F}(\nabla|\lambda)$  be a  $\lambda$ -family of causal operators for  $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_0]$  with  $\hat{F}(\nabla|0)$  being minimal. Choose  $\hat{K}(n)$  as

$$\hat{D}(n)\hat{K}(n) = \hat{\mathbf{1}}(n^2)^m, \quad m \leq k \operatorname{tr} \hat{\mathbf{1}}, \quad (17)$$

Going back to operators ( $n^{\alpha} \mapsto \nabla^{\alpha}$ ), an additional term  $\hat{K}_1(\nabla) = O[\ell_{\text{bg}}^{-1}]$  of order  $2m - 1$  appears:

$$\hat{D}(\nabla)\hat{K}(\nabla) = \hat{\square}^m + \hat{K}_1(\nabla), \quad (18)$$

and for  $\hat{F}(\nabla) = \hat{D}(\nabla) + \hat{\Pi}(\nabla)$ :

$$\hat{F}(\nabla)\hat{K}(\nabla) = \hat{\square}^m + \hat{M}(\nabla), \quad \hat{M}(\nabla) = \hat{\Pi}(\nabla)\hat{K}(\nabla) + \hat{K}_1(\nabla) = O[\ell_{\text{bg}}^{-1}]. \quad (19)$$

Perturbation theory in  $\hat{M}$  [Barvinsky, Vilkovisky (1983, 1985)]:

$$\hat{G} = \hat{K}(\nabla) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{1}}}{\square} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p=0}^{p_{\max}} (-1)^{p+1} \left( \hat{M}(\nabla) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{1}}}{\square} \frac{1}{m} \right)^p + O[\ell_{\text{bg}}^{-(p_{\max}+1)}]. \quad (20)$$

$$\log \operatorname{Det} \hat{F}(\nabla|\lambda) = \log \operatorname{Det} \hat{F}(\nabla|0) + \operatorname{Tr} \int_0^{\lambda} d\lambda' \frac{d\hat{F}(\nabla|\lambda')}{d\lambda'} G(\lambda') + \delta(0)(\dots). \quad (21)$$

Series (20) effectively reduces the nonminimal Green function to the sum of *universal functional traces*

$$\nabla_{\mu_1} \dots \nabla_{\mu_{\ell}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{1}}}{\square} \frac{1}{m} \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x}. \quad (22)$$

P.T. is insensitive to total-derivative terms!

# Proca heat kernel and 1-loop double poles

## Proca 1-loop effective action from perturbation theory

Proca operator

$$F(m^2|\nabla) \equiv F_\nu^\mu(m^2|\nabla) = \square\delta_\nu^\mu - \nabla^\mu\nabla_\nu - m^2\delta_\nu^\mu - R_\nu^\mu. \quad (23)$$

Its GF is expressed in terms of minimal massive GF:

$$\frac{\delta_\beta^\alpha}{F(m^2|\nabla)} = \left( \delta_\nu^\alpha - \frac{1}{m^2} \nabla^\alpha\nabla_\nu \right) \frac{\delta_\beta^\nu}{H(\nabla) - m^2}, \quad (24)$$

where  $H(\nabla) - m^2 \equiv H_\nu^\mu(\nabla) - m^2\delta_\nu^\mu = \square\delta_\nu^\mu - R_\nu^\mu - m^2\delta_\nu^\mu$ .

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log \hat{F}(m^2|\nabla) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \int_{m^2}^{\infty} d\mu^2 \frac{\delta_\nu^\mu}{F(\mu^2|\nabla)} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \log F_\nu^\mu(m^2|\nabla) \Big|_{\text{div}} &= \frac{1}{\omega - 2} \int d^4x \frac{g^{1/2}}{32\pi^2} \left\{ -\frac{11}{180} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{43}{90} R_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \frac{1}{9} R^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} R + \frac{3}{2} m^4 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{12} (\gamma_E + \log m^2) \square R - \frac{1}{30} \square R \right\} - \frac{1}{(\omega - 2)^2} \int d^4x \frac{g^{1/2}}{32\pi^2} \frac{1}{12} \square R. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Its principal symbol is degenerate:

$$\det \left( n^2 \delta_\nu^\mu - n^\mu n_\nu \right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

But if mass is included explicitly, a perturbation theory can be constructed:

$$D_\nu^\mu(n) = n^2 \delta_\nu^\mu - n^\mu n_\nu - m^2 \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad K_\nu^\mu(n) = \delta_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{m^2} n^\mu n_\nu, \quad F_\alpha^\mu(m^2|\nabla) K_\nu^\alpha(\nabla) = (\square - m^2) \delta_\nu^\mu + M_\nu^\mu. \quad (28)$$

With perturbation operator being purely potential  $M_\nu^\mu = -R_\nu^\mu$

# Proca heat kernel and 1-loop double poles

## Proca heat kernel

Heat kernel is given via Green function's Mellin transform:

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{\tau F(m^2|\nabla)}]_{\nu}^{\mu} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C dz \left[ \frac{e^{z\tau}}{F(m^2|\nabla) - z} \right]_{\nu}^{\mu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C dz \left[ \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} - \frac{1}{m^2 + z} \nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\lambda} \right] \left[ \frac{e^{z\tau}}{H(\nabla) - m^2 - z} \right]_{\nu}^{\lambda} \\ &= [e^{\tau(H(\nabla) - m^2)}]_{\nu}^{\mu} + \nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\lambda} \left[ \frac{e^{-m^2\tau}}{H(\nabla)} - \frac{e^{\tau(H(\nabla) - m^2)}}{H(\nabla)} \right]_{\nu}^{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using the Ward identity ( $\square_s$  is the scalar d'Alembertian)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_{\nu}^{\lambda}}{H(\nabla)} \nabla_{\lambda} \nabla^{\mu} &= \frac{1}{\square_s} \nabla_{\nu} \nabla^{\mu} \\ \nabla_{\beta} [e^{\tau(H(\nabla) - m^2)}]_{\nu}^{\beta} &= e^{-\tau m^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^n}{n!} \nabla_{\beta} [H(\nabla)^n]_{\nu}^{\beta} = e^{-\tau m^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^n}{n!} \square_s^n \nabla_{\nu} = e^{\tau(\square_s - m^2)} \nabla_{\nu}, \\ \nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\alpha} [e^{\tau(H(\nabla) - m^2)}]_{\beta}^{\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{H(\nabla)} \right]_{\nu}^{\beta} &= \nabla^{\mu} e^{\tau(\square_s - m^2)} \frac{1}{\square_s} \nabla_{\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The heat kernel then reads

$$K_{F(m^2)}_{\nu}^{\mu}(\tau) = K_{H}^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(\tau) e^{-\tau m^2} - e^{-\tau m^2} \nabla^{\mu} \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \nabla_{\nu}, \quad (32)$$

which satisfies the heat equation.

# Proca heat kernel and 1-loop double poles

## $\Gamma_{\text{div}}^{1\text{-loop}}$ from the heat kernel

Does our heat kernel yield the same determinant?

$$\Gamma^{1\text{-loop}} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr} K_{F(m^2)}^\mu(\tau), \quad (33)$$

$$\text{Tr} K_{F(m^2)}^\mu = \text{Tr} K_{H_\nu}^\mu e^{-\tau m^2} - \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\tau m^2} \nabla^\mu \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \nabla_\nu \right]. \quad (34)$$

Functional trace cyclicity involves *integration by parts*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \nabla^\mu \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \nabla_\mu &= \int d^d x d^d y \nabla^\mu \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \delta(x, y) \nabla_\mu \delta(y, x) \\ &= - \int d^d x d^d y \nabla_\mu^{(x)} \left[ \nabla_\mu^{(x)} \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \delta(x, y) \delta(y, x) \right] + \int d^d x d^d y \square_s \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \delta(x, y) \delta(y, x) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The additional total-derivative term is to blame for the double pole

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} K_{F(m^2)}^\mu &= \text{Tr} K_{H_\nu}^\mu e^{-\tau m^2} - \text{Tr} K_{\square_s} e^{-\tau m^2} \\ &+ \int d^d x \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \delta(x, x') \Big|_{x'=x} \right] e^{-\tau m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



# Proca heat kernel and 1-loop double poles

## $\Gamma_{\text{div}}^{1\text{-loop}}$ from the heat kernel

The surface term in the heat kernel yields the double pole

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} e^{-\tau m^2} \int d^d x \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu \frac{e^{\tau \square_s} - 1}{\square_s} \delta(x, x') \Big|_{x'=x} \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_0^\tau ds \int d^d x \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu e^{s \square_s - \tau m^2} \delta(x, x') \Big|_{x'=x} \right] \\ &= \int \frac{d^d x}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (m^2)^{-n+d/2-1} \frac{\Gamma(n-d/2+1)}{n-d/2+1} \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu a_n^\square \Big|_{x'=x} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In  $d = 4$  the double pole is at  $n = 1$ :

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \text{Det} F(m^2 | \nabla) \Big|_{\text{div}} = -\frac{1}{(\omega - 2)^2} \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{32\pi^2} \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu a_1^\square \right] + O((\omega - 2)^{-1}), \quad (38)$$

where  $[\nabla_\mu a_1^\square] = \frac{1}{12} \nabla_\mu R$ .

Including the rest of the terms in the heat kernel yields the same result as perturbation theory.

## Boundary terms summation method

Alternative method: following [Barvinsky, Vilkovisky (1985)], make use of Ward identity

$$\nabla_\alpha (\square \delta_\beta^\alpha - R_\beta^\alpha) = \square_s \nabla_\beta, \quad (39)$$

$$F_\alpha^\mu(m^2) \left( \delta_\nu^\alpha - \frac{1}{m^2} \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\nu \right) = (\square - m^2) \delta_\nu^\mu - R_\nu^\mu. \quad (40)$$

Whence:

$$\text{Tr} \log F_\nu^\mu(m^2) = \text{Tr} \log [(\square - m^2) \delta_\nu^\mu - R_\nu^\mu] - \text{Tr} \log \left[ \delta_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{m^2} \nabla^\mu \nabla_\nu \right] + \delta(0)(\dots). \quad (41)$$

First term is Tr log of minimal operator, contains only simple poles. The second term:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \log \left[ \delta_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{m^2} \nabla^\mu \nabla_\nu \right] &= -\text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \nabla^\mu \frac{\square_s^{n-1}}{m^{2n}} \nabla_\nu = \text{Tr} \nabla^\mu \frac{1}{\square_s} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\square_s}{m^2} \right) \nabla_\nu \\ &= \int d^d x \nabla^\mu \frac{\log(1 - \square_s/m^2)}{\square_s} \nabla_\mu \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Using the identity

$$\nabla^{(x)} f(x, x) = \nabla^{(x)} f(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} + \nabla^{(y)} f(x, y) \Big|_{y=x}, \quad (43)$$

we obtain the surface term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d^d x \nabla^\mu \frac{\log(1 - \square_s/m^2)}{\square_s} \nabla_\mu \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} = \\ &= \text{Tr} \log \left[ 1 - \frac{\square_s}{m^2} \right] - \int d^d x \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu \frac{\log(1 - \square_s/m^2)}{\square_s} \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

## Boundary terms summation method

In  $d = 4$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int d^d x \nabla_\mu \left[ \nabla^\mu \frac{\log(1 - \square_s/m^2)}{\square_s} \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} \right] = \\
 & = \int d^4 x \nabla^\alpha \left\{ \nabla_\alpha \int_{m^2}^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s\mu^2} e^{s\square_s} \delta(x, y) \Big|_{y=x} \right\} \\
 & = \left( \frac{1}{(\omega - 2)^2} + \frac{\gamma_E + \log m^2}{\omega - 2} \right) \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{16\pi^2} \frac{\square R}{12} + O((\omega - 2)^0),
 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which also contains a double pole (and gives the same answer as the heat kernel method).

## Determinant anomalies

Define multiplicative (or determinant) anomaly for operators  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2$  [Wodzicki (1984, 1987), Kontsevich (1994)]

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2, \quad (46)$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log \text{Det } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12} - \log \text{Det } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 - \log \text{Det } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2. \quad (47)$$

## Relation with surface terms

Product determinant (order-preserving) deformation:

$$\delta \log \text{Det}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12}] \equiv \delta[\text{Tr} \log \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12}] = \text{Tr}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12}^{-1} \delta \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{12}] = \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2^{-1} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1^{-1} (\delta \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2 + \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \delta \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2) \right]. \quad (48)$$

Cyclic permutations under  $\text{Tr}$  involves integration by parts:

$$\delta \log \text{Det}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2] = \delta \log \text{Det } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1 + \delta \log \text{Det } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^d x \partial_\mu [\dots]^\mu. \quad (49)$$

Hence, expect only surface terms in  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_2)$ . Verify this by explicit calculation of div parts of anomaly for minimal & nonminimal 2<sup>nd</sup> order operators.

# Determinant anomalies and surface terms

## Notation

Compact manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{M} = d$  with indices:  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ .

Bundle indices  $A, B, C, \dots$  are omitted:  $\varphi \equiv \varphi^A$ ,  $\hat{X} \equiv X_B^A$ ,  $X \equiv \text{tr} \hat{X} \equiv X_A^A$ .

Connection  $\nabla_\alpha$  is torsionless and compatible with metric  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

$$[\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta]v^\gamma = R^\gamma{}_{\lambda\alpha\beta}v^\lambda, \quad [\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta]\varphi = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta}\varphi. \quad (50)$$

## Method of calculations

Let  $\text{ord} \hat{F}_{(2)} = 2$ ,  $\text{ord} \hat{F}_{(4)} = 4$ , and  $\omega \rightarrow d/2 - 0$ . From Schwinger–DeWitt technique:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Det} \hat{F}_{(2)} \Big|_{\text{div}} &= \frac{1}{\omega - d/2} \int d^d x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_{(2)}}(x), \\ \log \text{Det} \hat{F}_{(4)} \Big|_{\text{div}} &= \frac{2}{\omega - d/2} \int d^d x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_{(4)}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where  $\hat{E}_{2m}^{\hat{F}_{(2)}}$  and  $\hat{E}_{2m}^{\hat{F}_{(4)}}$  are Gilkey–Seeley coefficients for  $\hat{F}_{(2)}$  and  $\hat{F}_{(4)}$  from their HK expansions:

$$\hat{E}_{2m}(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \hat{a}_m(x, y) \Big|_{y=x}. \quad (52)$$

Hence divergent part of anomaly:

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2,4} \Big|_d^{\text{div}} = \frac{1}{\omega - d/2} \int d^d x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \left[ 2\hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_{12}} - \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_1} - \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_2} \right], \quad \omega \rightarrow d/2 - 0. \quad (53)$$

## Minimal determinant anomaly

$\mathcal{A}(\hat{F}_1, \hat{F}_2)$  for minimal operators:

$$\hat{F}_1 = \square \hat{1} + \hat{A}_\alpha \nabla^\alpha + \hat{Q}, \quad \hat{F}_2 = \square \hat{1} + \hat{P}, \quad (54)$$

$$\hat{F}_{12} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{F}_1 \hat{F}_2 = \square^2 \hat{1} + \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta \nabla^\gamma + \hat{D}_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^\alpha \nabla^\beta + \hat{H}_\alpha \nabla^\alpha + \hat{U}, \quad (55)$$

with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \frac{1}{3} \left( g_{\beta\gamma} \hat{A}_\alpha + g_{\gamma\alpha} \hat{A}_\beta + g_{\alpha\beta} \hat{A}_\gamma \right), & \hat{D}_{\alpha\beta} &= (\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) g_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \hat{H}_\alpha &= -\frac{1}{3} \hat{A}^\beta \left( 2R_{\alpha\beta} + 3\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha\beta} \right) + 2\nabla_\alpha \hat{P} + \hat{A}_\alpha \hat{P}, & \hat{U} &= \frac{1}{3} \hat{A}_\alpha \nabla_\beta \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha\beta} + \hat{A}_\alpha \nabla^\alpha \hat{P} + \square \hat{P} + \hat{Q} \hat{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Using  $\hat{E}_{2m}$  from [Barvinsky, Vilkovisky (1985)] for  $\hat{F}_{1,2}$  and [Barvinsky, Wachowski (2022)]<sup>a</sup> for  $\hat{F}_{12}$ , we obtain:

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2} |_{\text{div}} = -\frac{1}{\omega - 1} \int \frac{d^2 x g^{1/2}}{8\pi} \nabla_\alpha A^\alpha, \quad \omega \rightarrow 1 - 0, \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 4} |_{\text{div}} &= \frac{1}{\omega - 2} \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{16\pi^2} \nabla_\alpha \text{tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left( \hat{A}^\alpha (\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left( \hat{A}^\alpha R + \hat{A}_\beta R^{\alpha\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{9} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\beta - \frac{7}{36} \square \hat{A}^\alpha + \frac{2}{9} \nabla_\beta \nabla^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta + \frac{11}{72} \hat{A}^\alpha \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\beta \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{72} \left( \nabla^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta \hat{A}_\beta + \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{24} \left( \hat{A}^\alpha \hat{A}_\beta \hat{A}^\beta \right) \right\}, \quad \omega \rightarrow 2 - 0. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Only total-derivative terms, as expected.

<sup>a</sup> $\Omega$ -dependent part of  $\hat{E}_4$  given in this work contained mistakes

## Nonminimal determinant anomaly

Now 2<sup>nd</sup> order nonminimal vector operators:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1\beta}^{\alpha}(\varkappa) &= \square\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \varkappa\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} + X_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \\ F_{2\beta}^{\alpha}(\lambda) &= \square\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \lambda\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} + Y_{\beta}^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Their product is minimal if  $\varkappa = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ :

$$F_{1\gamma}^{\alpha}(\lambda)F_{2\beta}^{\gamma}(\varkappa) = \square^2\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} + D_{\beta}^{\alpha\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + H_{\beta}^{\alpha\mu} + U_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \quad (60)$$

with coefficients ( $\varkappa = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\beta}^{\alpha\mu\nu} &= (X_{\beta}^{\alpha} + Y_{\beta}^{\alpha})g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\varkappa}{2} \left[ (Y_{\beta}^{\mu} + R_{\beta}^{\mu})g^{\alpha\nu} + (Y_{\beta}^{\nu} + R_{\beta}^{\nu})g^{\alpha\mu} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left[ (X^{\alpha\mu} + R^{\alpha\mu})\delta_{\beta}^{\nu} + (X^{\alpha\nu} + R^{\alpha\nu})\delta_{\beta}^{\mu} \right], \\ H_{\beta}^{\alpha\mu} &= -\varkappa\nabla^{\alpha}(Y_{\beta}^{\mu} + R_{\beta}^{\mu}) - \varkappa\left(\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\beta}R + \nabla_{\gamma}Y_{\beta}^{\gamma}\right)g^{\mu\alpha} + 2\nabla^{\mu}Y_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \\ U_{\beta}^{\alpha} &= \varkappa R_{\gamma}^{\alpha}(R_{\beta}^{\gamma} + Y_{\beta}^{\gamma}) - \varkappa\nabla_{\gamma}\nabla^{\alpha}(R_{\beta}^{\gamma} + Y_{\beta}^{\gamma}) + X_{\gamma}^{\alpha}Y_{\beta}^{\gamma} + \square Y_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &\quad - \frac{\varkappa}{2}(Y^{\mu\gamma} + R^{\mu\gamma})R_{\gamma\beta\mu}^{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(X^{\alpha\mu} + R^{\alpha\mu})R_{\beta\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

## Nonminimal determinant anomaly

Using  $E_{2m}$  from [Gusynin (1999)], [Barvinsky, Camargo, Kalugin, Ohta, Shapiro (2023)], and [Barvinsky, Wachowski (2022)] we obtain:

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2} \Big|_{\text{div}} = 0, \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 4} \Big|_{\text{div}} = & \frac{1}{\omega - 2} \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{16\pi^2} \nabla_\alpha \left\{ \frac{7(\lambda - 2)((\lambda - 2)\lambda + 2(\lambda - 1)\log(1 - \lambda))}{24(\lambda - 1)\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha R} \right. \\ & + \frac{(5\lambda - 4)((\lambda - 2)\lambda + 2(\lambda - 1)\log(1 - \lambda))}{12\lambda^2} \nabla_\beta X^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{(\lambda + 1)((\lambda - 2)\lambda + 2(\lambda - 1)\log(1 - \lambda))}{12\lambda^2} \nabla^\alpha X \\ & \left. - \frac{(\lambda + 4)((\lambda - 2)\lambda + 2(\lambda - 1)\log(1 - \lambda))}{12(\lambda - 1)\lambda^2} \nabla_\beta Y^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{(2\lambda - 1)((\lambda - 2)\lambda + 2(\lambda - 1)\log(1 - \lambda))}{12(\lambda - 1)\lambda^2} \nabla^\alpha Y \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Again, only total-derivative terms.



## Main results

- 1 Double pole total-derivative term in the nonminimal Proca field 1-loop effective action is explained
- 2 Nonminimal massive Proca heat kernel is constructed
- 3 Double-pole term in Proca operator determinant is obtained via the heat kernel and the boundary term summation method
- 4 A connection between determinant anomalies and total-derivative terms is established
- 5 The determinant variational formula argument is verified via explicit calculations for both minimal and nonminimal operators

## Acknowledgements

The speaker is greatly indebted to his advisor, A. Barvinsky for supervision of this work as well as for his kindness. This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 23-12-00051.

Thank you for your attention!